

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY



BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

Chair:

Mayor Alma Hernandez
City of Suisun City

Vice Chair:

Director J.D. Kluge
Solano Irrigation District

Mayor Steve Young
City of Benicia

Mayor Steve Bird
City of Dixon

Councilmember Doriss Panduro
City of Fairfield

Director Chris Holdener
Maine Prairie Water District

Director Gabe DeTar
Reclamation District No. 2068

Mayor Edwin Okamura
City of Rio Vista

Supervisor Cassandra James
Solano County District 1

Supervisor Monica Brown
Solano County District 2

Supervisor Wanda Williams
Solano County District 3

Supervisor John Vasquez
Solano County District 4

Supervisor Mitch Mashburn
Solano County District 5

Mayor John Carli
City of Vacaville

Mayor Andrea Sorce
City of Vallejo

GENERAL MANAGER:

Chris Lee
Solano County Water Agency

DATE: Thursday, October 8, 2026

TIME: 6:30 p.m.

PLACE: Berryessa Room
Solano County Water Agency Office
810 Vaca Valley Parkway, Suite 202
Vacaville, CA 95688

Remote participation is available under AB 2449:
Please review the insert after agenda regarding AB 2449.

Zoom Information:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86847349477?pwd=7VzR4b15cPyDflUb2YH40CrV6YFwlb.1>

Meeting ID: 868 4734 9477 | Passcode: 810810

One tap mobile: +16699006833,,83363088045#,,,*810810#

Phone Number: +1 669 900 6833

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

(A) Announcements Pursuant to AB 2449 (if any)

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

The Public Comment section provides an opportunity to address the Board of Directors on items that are not listed on the agenda, or informational items pertinent to the agency's business. The Board welcomes your comments and requests that speakers present their remarks in a respectful manner, within established time limits, and focus on issues which directly affect the agency or are within the jurisdiction of the agency. The Board will not be able to act on matters brought to its attention under this item until a future board meeting.

If you wish to make a Public Comment, please contact the Secretary at: cleee@scwa2.com to expedite the process, thank you. Public Comments may still be made during the meeting without prior notice.



6. **CONSENT ITEMS** *(estimated time: 5 minutes)*

- (A) Minutes: Approval of the Minutes of the Board of Directors meeting of September 10, 2026.
- (B) Expenditure Approvals: Approve expenditures from the Water Agency checking account for September 2026.
- (C) Financial Report Approval: Approve the quarterly Income Statement and Balance Sheet for the period ending September 2026.
- (D) Appropriations Limit for Fiscal Year 2026-2027: Approve Resolution Number 2026-04 of the Solano County Water Agency establishing the fiscal year 2026-2027 state appropriations limit of \$22,637,345.
- (E) Lake Berryessa Decontamination Pad Infrastructure Upgrade Grant: Authorize the General Manager or designee to file grant application and execute grant agreements and any other documents necessary to secure United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation grant funding for the Lake Berryessa Mussel Prevention Program.

7. **BOARD MEMBER REPORTS** *(estimated time: 5 minutes)*

RECOMMENDATION: For information only.

8. **GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT** *(estimated time: 5 minutes)*

RECOMMENDATION: For information only.

9. **SOLANO WATER ADVISORY COMMISSION REPORT** *(estimated time: 5 minutes)*

RECOMMENDATION: For information only.

The Solano Water Advisory Commission (SWAC) is comprised of senior management staff of each of the individual agencies that make up the Solano County Water Agency. The SWAC and Water Agency staff meet monthly and confer on water and related issues and make reports and recommendations to the Water Agency Board of Directors.

10. **CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN UPDATE** *(estimated time: 15 minutes)*

RECOMMENDATION: For information only.

The Solano Project R&B Plan is a comprehensive planning tool for the enhancement of the Solano Project as it relates to SCWA's 2016-2030 Strategic Plan. The projects outlined are vital for extending the lifespan of the Solano Project's aging infrastructure and enhancing water supply reliability in Solano County.

The Solano Project R&B Plan is designed to guide investment and enhancement of the Solano Project over the next ten (10) fiscal years (FY) from FY2025 to FY2034. The Solano Project R&B Plan identified 60 projects that include new build, rehabilitation, and studies that address critical needs to sustain the integrity of the Solano Project. Since the October 2024 plan, four projects have been completed, six projects have been added, and one has been removed.

To date, a few priority projects are in process including the Putah Diversion Dam Roadway and Drainage Improvements, the Radial Gate Rehabilitation and Modernization project, and the Nishikawa habitat restoration project along lower Putah Creek. Additionally, project management efforts for several projects identified in the Solano Project R&B Plan will be presented.

11. ULATIS FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT PROPERTY A-1 CHANNEL RELOCATION QUITCLAIM AND TRANSFER FINALIZATION (estimated time: 10 minutes)

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Authorize the General Manager:

1. To execute a Quitclaim Deed abandoning easement over property containing former alignment of the Ulati A-1 Channel.
2. To execute Grant Deed conveying two parcels adjacent to Recology Hay Road property.
3. To accept Grant of Easement Deed over portions of Recology Hay Road property for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the realigned A-1 Channel;
4. And, to execute any subsequent contractual agreements for easement acquisition, as necessary, including the authority to work with counsel and exercise discretion to negotiate, review, and approve non-essential, administrative, or procedural terms.

The properties to be released are part of the Ulati Flood Control Project A-1 Channel and amount to 7.5, 0.26, and 0.77 acres, respectively. The Water Agency accepted the realignment of the A-1 Channel in 1996 by B&J Drop Box on behalf of Vacaville Fill, predecessor to Recology Hay Road, for an expansion of the landfill. Permits granted by the Water Agency stipulate that the property owner (Recology) is responsible for completing all documentation, reporting and fees necessary to exchange the easements for the new channel. The proposed easement (10.27 acres) is valued at approximately \$0.90 per square foot for a total of \$400,000 and Recology will be reimbursing the Water Agency for any and all cost incurred including but not limited to legal, realtor and survey fees.

12. LOCAL STATE OF EMERGENCY (estimated time: 10 minutes)

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution 2026-05, declaring a local state of emergency for the upcoming storm season and potential flooding with El Niño.

On September 21, 2026, Governor Newsom proclaimed a statewide State of Emergency to support preparedness for the upcoming winter storm season and potential flooding associated with a strengthening El Niño.

In response, Water Agency staff recommend that the Board of Directors consider following suit by declaring a local State of Emergency for the Water Agency to facilitate preparation for the upcoming flood season and expedite implementation of needed repair projects within the Agency's flood systems by adopting Resolution 2026-05.

13. **LEGISLATIVE UPDATES** *(estimated time: 10 minutes)*

RECOMMENDATION: Hear report from Bob Reeb of Reeb Government Relations, LLC.

The Solano County Water Agency is committed to providing cities and farms with reliable access to water, flood risk reduction, and habitat conservation services. Federal and State financial and technical resources and legislative support are needed to fulfill our commitment to Solano County residents, businesses, and agricultural water users. The Solano County Water Agency is represented by Reeb Government Relations for state matters and Lincoln Concepts for federal matters.

14. **WATER POLICY UPDATES** *(estimated time: 10 minutes)*

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Hear report from staff on current and emerging Delta and Water Policy issues and provide direction.
2. Hear status report from Committee Chair on activities of the SCWA Water Policy Committee.
3. Hear report on activities of the Delta Counties Coalition, Delta Protection Commission, and Delta Conservancy.

The Delta Counties Coalition, a consortium of five Delta Counties, including Contra Costa, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Solano, and Yolo, is working to give one voice to the Delta, advocating on behalf of local government and the four million people throughout the Delta region. Learn more at: <https://savethedelta.saccounty.gov> .

The Delta Protection Commission's primary authority is over land use in the Delta's unincorporated areas. The Commission coordinates the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta National Heritage Area and leads the development of the Great California Delta Trail System. Learn more at: <https://delta.ca.gov> .

The Delta Conservancy leads efforts to preserve, protect, and restore the natural resources, economy, and agriculture of the Delta and Suisun Marsh through both internal programs and grant-funded projects. It collaborates with local communities, interested groups, science experts, Tribes, and state and federal agencies to accomplish this goal. Learn more at: <https://deltaconservancy/ca/gov> .

15. **CLOSED SESSION** *(estimated time: 30 minutes)*

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-ANTICIPATED LITIGATION (Gov. Code §54956.9(d)(2): 2 cases

16. **TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING**

Thursday, November 12, 2026, at 6:30 p.m. at the Solano County Water Agency offices.

The Full Board of Directors packet with background materials for each agenda item can be viewed on the Agency's website at <https://www.scwa2.com/governance/board-meetings-agendas-minutes/>

Any materials related to items on this agenda distributed to the Board of Directors of Solano County Water Agency less than 72 hours before the public meeting are available for public inspection at the Agency's offices located at the following address: 810 Vaca Valley Parkway, Suite 202, Vacaville, CA 95688. Upon request, these materials may be made available in an alternative format to persons with disabilities.

OCT.2026.BOD.Agenda

AB 2449 Provides Remote Options for Public Agencies

Despite the end of the COVID-19 pandemic, public agencies still have options available to them if they need to exercise remote participation for members of their legislative bodies. AB 2449 provides that if a quorum of the legislative body participates in person, a member of a legislative body may participate remotely so long as the member provides prompt notice and the need for remote participation falls under one of the statutorily defined exceptions. The member does not need to identify their location nor ensure it is accessible to the public.

Members of legislative bodies can use AB 2449 to participate remotely if there is “just cause” or if “emergency circumstances” exist. “Just cause” is defined as any of the following:

- Providing childcare or caregiving of a parent, grandparent, grandchild, sibling, spouse, or domestic partner that requires the member to participate remotely.
- A contagious illness that prevents attendance in person.
- Tending to a need related to a physical or mental disability.
- Travelling for business of the legislative body or another state or local agency.

“Emergency circumstances” are defined as follows:

- A physical or family medical emergency that prevents a member of a legislative body from attending in person.

Notice Must be Provided to Utilize AB 2449’s Provisions

In order to utilize the provisions of AB 2449, members of a legislative body must inform their public agency at the earliest possible opportunity of their need to participate remotely, which can include before the start of the meeting. The member must also provide a general description of the circumstances that require remote participation. In the case of emergency circumstances, the member must actually request that the legislative body allow them to participate remotely and the legislative body has to take action on this request.

Any member participating remotely because of just cause or emergency circumstances must publicly disclose at the meeting before any action is taken, whether any other individuals 18 years of age or older are present in the room at the remote location with the member, and the general nature of the member’s relationship with any such individuals.

Members and Public Must have Option to Participate in Meetings both Audibly and Visually

When a member participates remotely, he/she must utilize both audio and visual capabilities to effectuate compliance with the statute. Therefore, members of public agencies cannot use a call in only option to attend meetings, they must be on camera. Additionally, the legislative body is responsible for ensuring that the public can also participate in meetings remotely. This includes providing a way for the public to remotely hear, visually observe, and remotely address the legislative body. Furthermore, members of the public can no longer be required to submit their comments prior to the meeting but instead must be allowed to give comments in real time.

CONSENT ITEMS

**SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY
BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING MINUTES
MEETING DATE: September 10, 2026**

The Solano County Water Agency Board of Directors met this evening in the Board Room located at the Water Agency office in Vacaville. Attending were:

Councilmember Lionel Largaespada, City of Benicia
Councilmember Doriss Panduro, City of Fairfield
Mayor Edwin Okamura, City of Rio Vista
Mayor Alma Hernandez, City of Suisun City
Mayor John Carli, City of Vacaville
Mayor Andrea Sorce, City of Vallejo
Supervisor Wanda Williams, District 3
Director J.D. Kluge, Solano Irrigation District
Director Chris Holdener, Maine Prairie Water District

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Chair Hernandez at 6:31 pm.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

On a motion by Mayor Okamura and a second by Director Kluge the Board unanimously approved by roll call vote the agenda.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no public comments.

CONSENT ITEMS

On a motion by Supervisor Williams and a second by Mayor Sorce the Board unanimously approved by roll call vote all the consent items.

- (A) Minutes
- (B) Expenditure Approvals

BOARD MEMBER REPORTS

There were no Board Member reports.

GENERAL MANAGERS REPORT

There were no additional updates to the written report.

SOLANO WATER ADVISORY COMMISSION REPORT

There were no updates from the Commission.

FLOOD MONITORING NETWORK

Jay Cuetara, Supervising Water Resources Engineer, provided an overview of the Water Agency's flood monitoring network and annual winter preparedness activities. Mr. Cuetara explained that, although forecasts had raised concerns about a potentially strong El Niño, long-term Northern California precipitation records do not show a consistent relationship between El

Niño events and wet or dry conditions. Instead, staff prepare each winter for a range of weather conditions.

Mr. Cuetara described the Agency's six monitoring programs, which together include more than 130 telemetered stations. The three programs with significant flood components are Solano Project operations, the Ulatis and Green Valley Flood Control Projects, and the Flood Monitoring Network.

For the Solano Project, Mr. Cuetara reviewed winter preparation activities at Lake Berryessa and Monticello Dam, the Interdam Reach, Putah Diversion Dam, and the Putah South Canal. Staff calibrates and verifies monitoring equipment, check gate and water-level sensors, inspect spillways and other facilities, and maintain real-time operational information. For the first time staff also used a drone to inspect the Glory Hole spillway. No conditions of concern were identified, and the inspection information was provided to the Bureau of Reclamation.

Mr. Cuetara also discussed the Putah Diversion Dam cable replacement project. Two floodgates failed during flood operations in 2024, prompting replacement of cables and connections on all 12 floodgates. The final gate, Gate 12, was completed this morning, leaving all 12 gates operational for the coming winter. Staff are also implementing a larger Capital Improvement Program (CIP) effort to rehabilitate the floodgates. The project illustrates the importance of continued CIP investment in the 70-year-old Solano Project.

For the Ulatis and Green Valley Flood Control Projects, Mr. Cuetara described flow and stage monitoring, coordination with Solano County on channel clearing and maintenance, and collection of high-flow measurements. The Flood Monitoring Network includes 16 creek locations and 8 precipitation locations. Staff have been rebuilding several stations, calibrating sensors, cleaning solar panels, and replacing batteries in preparation for winter.

Mr. Cuetara explained that many Solano County creeks are highly responsive to storms. During one event, a creek rose from summertime conditions to approximately 15 feet of flood stage within four hours and then receded back to baseline conditions only a few hours later. During storms, staff monitor SCADA information, field-verify conditions, when necessary, collect high-flow measurements, monitor turbidity, and document high-water conditions. When turbidity approaches 200 NTU, staff will work to shut down the Putah South Canal to protect water treatment operations and reduce sediment accumulation, while recognizing that longer duration storms may require operation under higher turbidity conditions. Monitoring information is made available through the Agency's public-facing websites.

Councilmember Largaespada had a question about the monitoring locations for the Flood Monitoring Network. Mr. Cuetara explained that the existing network was originally developed primarily to support the Ulatis Flood Control Project and therefore does not cover drainage areas in Benicia. However, Mr. Cuetara offered to work with city staff to determine whether the Agency can provide additional assistance. He also explained that local agencies can access the Flood Monitoring Network online to monitor flood conditions. In response to a question from Councilmember Panduro, Mr. Cuetara explained that turbidity is a measure of sediment suspended in the water.

Mayor Carli thanked staff for the monitoring work and noted the value of having real-time flood information available online for local agencies and residents.

BAY DELTA WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN UPDATE

Assistant General Manager Alex Rabidoux provided an update on the State Water Resources Control Board's Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan. Mr. Rabidoux reviewed the regulatory framework, the 55% Unimpaired Flow (UIF) concept, and the portions of the plan affecting the State Water Project, the Solano Project, and Delta water rights, including water rights associated with Vallejo, Reclamation District No. 2068, and Maine Prairie Water District.

Mr. Rabidoux reported that the State Water Board released its latest draft materials on August 19, including a final staff report and final Scientific Basis Report totaling approximately 15,000

pages. Comments are due September 18, followed by State Water Board hearings anticipated for October 28 and 29 and consideration of adoption thereafter. Mr. Rabidoux explained that both the Solano Project and State Water Project have voluntary agreements under the Healthy Rivers and Landscapes (HRL) framework, but the continued regulatory pathway and potential future UIF requirements remain significant concerns, particularly for water supplies not covered by the HRL agreements and for cold-water requirements affecting Lake Berryessa.

Mr. Rabidoux summarized the State Water Board's responses to the Water Agency's most recent comment letter. Some of the issues raised, included that Solano misinterpreted the groundwater modeling results, the Water Board is ultimately not responsible for Mitigation Measures those are the responsibilities of local public water agencies, CEQA does not require regional analyses, and changes in water supply would not affect population or housing. Mr. Rabidoux also shared several of the mitigation measures as proposed by the Water Board, such as diversifying water supply portfolios, groundwater storage and recovery, recycled water, and increased water use efficiency. Mr. Rabidoux explained that Solano County agencies have already diversified their supplies where feasible, that significant infrastructure and costs would be required for additional groundwater storage and recycled-water systems, that agricultural supplies in eastern Solano County are already used extensively by multiple agencies, and that desalination would present substantial challenges in environmentally sensitive areas.

Mr. Rabidoux shared that staff intend to submit a final comment letter by September 18, and it will be important to continue working on technical, operational, and legal issues on the Bay-Delta Plan. Mr. Rabidoux also emphasized the importance of the Agency's continued investment in Putah Creek restoration, including the Nishikawa Restoration Project, to show habitat improvements while also protecting the reliability of the Solano Project.

The Water Agency Board discussed their overall concern of the magnitude of water supply impacts associated with UIF. Board members discussed the importance of continued engagement with the State Water Board, including participating in the October hearings. Board members expressed support for coordinating appearances of elected officials and for engaging federal elected officials and federal partners. General Manager Chris Lee stated that staff would request Board participation once the hearing format is known. The Board also discussed communicating with the agricultural, business, and wine industries regarding the potential effects of the Bay-Delta Plan. Chair Hernandez noted that the Solano Economic Development Corporation and other members of the business community have been active in sharing their concerns of the proposed Bay-Delta Plan.

LEGISLATIVE UPDATES

Bob Reeb, SCWA's legislative advocate, provided an update on the conclusion of the 2026 legislative session. The Legislature sent approximately 900 bills to Governor Newsom, who has until September 30 to act on them. Mr. Reeb discussed several matters of interest to the Agency. Mr. Reeb reported that legislative efforts concerning California Forever did not advance during the session after the Solano County Board of Supervisors requested additional time. He described recent efforts by the Agency's legislative team to establish direct communication with California Forever representatives and reported that a meeting between Agency staff and California Forever's planner did occur. Continued discussions are expected concerning the project's potential groundwater and surface-water demands, including interactions with the North Bay Aqueduct intake and Lindsay Slough.

The Board discussed the potential water demands associated with the broader California Forever proposal, including the proposed shipyard, manufacturing hub, and housing development. Mr. Reeb reported that California Forever has identified four potential groundwater well fields and has also identified surface-water sources associated with Reclamation District No. 536 and other water rights. General Manager Lee stated that the Agency's objective is to ensure that the proposed development does not adversely affect regional water-supply reliability for SCWA and its member agencies while supporting appropriate planning and permitting.

Mr. Reeb further reported that the CEO of California Forever had filed articles of incorporation for the Solano Mutual Water Company. He explained that a mutual water company is generally a private water provider whose shareholders are typically property owners. Since the company is currently represented only by articles of incorporation, the ultimate governance structure, service area, and operating arrangements are not yet known.

Board members requested continued analysis of the long-term water demands associated with California Forever's broader land holdings. General Manager Lee indicated that staff would conduct additional investigation and consult with legal counsel regarding the mutual water company and how best to present additional information to the Board.

Mr. Reeb also discussed the Governor's proposed wildfire liability framework, which would have limited compensation for damaged water and municipal infrastructure to depreciated value. He explained that water agencies opposed the proposal because replacing aging infrastructure at current construction costs could substantially exceed depreciated value and leave agencies with additional debt. The proposed package did not advance during the session, although Mr. Reeb noted that the issue could potentially return in a future special session.

Mr. Reeb reviewed Senate Bill 872 by Senator McNERNEY, which had originally contemplated significant funding for state water projects and Delta levees but was substantially reduced during the legislative process. He also discussed AB 1881 by Assemblymember James Ramos and AB 2218 by Assemblymember Ash Kalra, concerning Native American cultural and sacred sites and related consultation requirements. Both bills are on the Governor's desk for action by September 30.

Finally, Mr. Reeb discussed AB 2045 by Assemblymember Damon Connolly, which the Agency supports. The bill would extend the Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Act and streamline permitting for certain habitat restoration projects. Mr. Reeb stated that the Agency had asked the Governor to sign the bill.

WATER POLICY UPDATES

There were no Water Policy updates from staff. Mayor Okamura provided an update concerning the Delta Protection Commission's consideration of appeals related to the Delta Stewardship Council's certification of consistency. He reported that the number of issues had been reduced to two, that DWR had resubmitted the certification, and that three appeals were pending. The Delta Protection Commission was expected to consider whether to submit a comment letter at its next meeting. Mayor Okamura also noted that the Commission retained the ability to pursue litigation within the applicable statutory period following the next certification of consistency.

The Board entered closed session at 7:50 pm. The Board came back into open session at 8:38 pm with nothing to report.

TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING

Thursday, October 8, 2026, at 6:30 pm at the SCWA offices.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting of the Solano County Water Agency Board of Directors was adjourned at 8:38 pm.

Chris Lee
General Manager &
Secretary to the Solano
County Water Agency

ACTION OF
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

DATE: October 8, 2026

SUBJECT: Expenditures Approval

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Approve expenditures from the Water Agency checking accounts for September 2026.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

All expenditures are within previously approved budget amounts.

BACKGROUND:

The Water Agency auditor has recommended that the Board of Directors approve all expenditures (in arrears). Attached is a summary of expenditures from the Water Agency’s checking accounts for September 2026. Additional backup information is available upon request.

Recommended:  _____
Chris Lee, General Manager

☐	Approved as Recommended	☐	Other (see below)	☐	Continued on next page
--------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------

Modification to Recommendation and/or other actions:

I, Chris Lee, General Manager and Secretary to the Solano County Water Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing action was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by said Board of Directors at a regular meeting thereof held on October 8, 2026, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

Chris Lee
General Manager & Secretary to the
Solano County Water Agency

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

Cash Disbursements Journal

For the Period From Sep 1, 2026 to Sep 30, 2026

Filter Criteria includes: Report order is by Date. Report is printed in Detail Format.

Date	Check #	Account ID	Line Description	Debit Amount	Credit Amount
9/1/26	45488	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 6584 707 DIESEL & AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR	2,000.00	2,000.00
9/1/26	45489	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 082726U A CLEANING BEE	1,265.00	1,265.00
9/1/26	45490	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 575840 BLANKINSHIP & ASSOCIATES, INC.	5,884.48	5,884.48
9/1/26	45491	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: SEPT 2026 BUZZ OATES MANAGEMENT SER	10,731.00	10,731.00
9/1/26	45492	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 4-60136928 CABLE CISCO	5,099.17	5,099.17
9/1/26	45493	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 43684109 CANON FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.	919.01	919.01
9/1/26	45494	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 83169 CBEC, INC.	9,445.53	9,445.53
9/1/26	45495	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 001789 Invoice: 001790 CRAMER FISH SCIENCES	1,886.00 324.00	2,210.00
9/1/26	45496	2020N 2020N 2020N 1015SC	Invoice: 26-026-T SEPT 2026 Invoice: 26-180-V JULY 2026 Invoice: 26-024-O SEPT 2026 DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES	762,497.00 133,135.00 386.00	896,018.00
9/1/26	45497	2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 630995 Invoice: 630997 Invoice: 630996 DOWNEY BRAND	5,886.50 4,668.00 1,956.00	12,510.50
9/1/26	45498	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 20932 EAGLE AERIAL SOLUTIONS	39,191.50	39,191.50
9/1/26	45499	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 43490 FOSTER & FOSTER CONSULTING ACTUARIES IN	2,750.00	2,750.00
9/1/26	45500	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 73537 HARRIS & ASSOCIATES, INC	1,282.50	1,282.50
9/1/26	45501	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: EMP REIM AUG 2026 ZACH HYER	88.64	88.64
9/1/26	45502	2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 0129459 Invoice: 0129460 Invoice: 0129465 Invoice: 0129441 Invoice: 0129458 Invoice: 0129461 Invoice: 0129457 Invoice: 0129462 Invoice: 0129464 JUST THE FINEST	75.00 75.00 80.00 175.00 150.00 75.00 140.00 75.00 390.00	1,235.00
9/1/26	45503	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 205778 LSA ASSOCIATES, INC.	37,108.75	37,108.75
9/1/26	45504	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 215925 MARTIN'S METAL FABRICATION &	1,016.38	1,016.38
9/1/26	45505	2020N 1015SC	Invoice: 2608655 MCCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL, INC.	89.00	89.00
9/1/26	45506	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 35370 NORTHWEST HYDRAULIC CONSULTANT	288.75	288.75

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

Cash Disbursements Journal

For the Period From Sep 1, 2026 to Sep 30, 2026

Filter Criteria includes: Report order is by Date. Report is printed in Detail Format.

Date	Check #	Account ID	Line Description	Debit Amount	Credit Amount
9/1/26	45507	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 8493113 OVIVO USA, LLC.	8,010.00	8,010.00
9/1/26	45508	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: WO-2656 PRECISION CLEANING SYSTEMS	138.26	138.26
9/1/26	45509	2020U 1015SC	Invoice: JULY - 2026 SOLANO COUNTY FLEET MANAGEMENT	1,145.40	1,145.40
9/1/26	45510	2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 0053803 Invoice: 0053804 Invoice: 0053817 SOLANO IRRIGATION DISTRICT	108,973.88 179,735.96 325.87	289,035.71
9/1/26	45511	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 006492990046 SEPT 26 STANDARD INSURANCE COMPANY	2,673.97	2,673.97
9/1/26	45512	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 24258 Invoice: 24402 SUMMERS ENGINEERING CO., INC.	1,959.96 2,595.00	4,554.96
9/1/26	45513	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 4283341 CAL.NET INC. - WINTERS	595.00	595.00
9/1/26	45514	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: DS14-01 YOLO COUNTY RCD	6,231.41	6,231.41
9/1/26	45515	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 25085A G & G HEAVY EQUIPMENT RENTALS, LLC	11,500.00	11,500.00
9/1/26	EFT 09.01.20	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: SEPT 2026 HEALTH CALPERS	49,312.14	49,312.14
9/1/26	EFT 9.1.26	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 0621676 Invoice: EPD 9.1.26 HOME DEPOT CREDIT SERVICE	561.17	10.38 550.79
9/1/26	EFT 9/1/2026	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: CL71019 INTERSTATE OIL COMPANY	1,693.01	1,693.01
9/2/26	45492V	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 4-60136928 CABLE CISCO	5,099.17	5,099.17
9/2/26	45494V	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 83169 CBEC, INC.	9,445.53	9,445.53
9/2/26	45516	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 4-60136928 Invoice: 4-60136929 CABLE CISCO	2,875.07 2,224.10	5,099.17
9/2/26	45517	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 83169 VERDANTAS INC.	9,445.53	9,445.53
9/3/26	EFT 9.3.2026	2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: PEPRA PPE 8.29.26 Invoice: PPE 8.29.26 Invoice: SIP PPE 8.29.26 CALPERS	14,768.47 10,249.28 9,121.66	34,139.41
9/3/26	EFT 9/3/2026	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 8551004899360 RECOLOGY VACAVILLE SOLANO	312.02	312.02
9/8/26	EFT 9/8/2026	2020N 1015SC	Invoice: 9-441-37296 FEDEX EXPRESS	155.28	155.28
9/12/26	PPE 9/12/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: PPE 9/12/26 PAYROLL TAXES	33,603.61	33,603.61
9/12/26	PPE 9/12/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: GARNISH PPE 9/12/26 CALIFORNIA STATE DISBURSEMENT	153.23	153.23

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

Cash Disbursements Journal

For the Period From Sep 1, 2026 to Sep 30, 2026

Filter Criteria includes: Report order is by Date. Report is printed in Detail Format.

Date	Check #	Account ID	Line Description	Debit Amount	Credit Amount
			UNIT		
9/12/26	PPE 9/12/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 104922 ONEPOINT HUMAN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC	124.00	124.00
9/15/26	45518	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 202608 Invoice: 202608A A CLEANING BEE	925.00 525.00	1,450.00
9/15/26	45519	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 0710343 ACWA JOINT POWERS INSURANCE AUTHORITY	2,844.46	2,844.46
9/15/26	45520	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 1368380 APEX TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT LLC	490.00	490.00
9/15/26	45521	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 25690396 CALNET3 AT&T	847.98	847.98
9/15/26	45522	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: AUGUST 2026 JAMES B. DEROSE	7,780.04	7,780.04
9/15/26	45523	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 629770 DOWNEY BRAND	44.94	44.94
9/15/26	45524	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 392860 FAMBROUGH CONSTRUCTION	3,500.00	3,500.00
9/15/26	45525	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: JOHN- HAYWARD Invoice: JOHN HAYWARD JOHN HAYWARD	50.00 100.00	150.00
9/15/26	45526	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 82026 LINCOLN CONCEPTS	5,750.00	5,750.00
9/15/26	45527	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: JULIE BAILEY JULIE BAILEY	50.00	50.00
9/15/26	45528	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: SOPHIA LUNGREN SOPHIA LUNGREN	50.00	50.00
9/15/26	45529	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: TINA SZURA TINA SZURA	50.00	50.00
9/15/26	45530	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 8493138 OVIVO USA, LLC.	201.24	201.24
9/15/26	45531	2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 408224 Invoice: 287254 Invoice: 408675 Invoice: 408724 Invoice: 288121 Invoice: 408972 Invoice: 408981 PACIFIC ACE HARDWARE	68.73 237.84 30.52 171.93 25.93 7.57 38.47	580.99
9/15/26	45532	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 3108050331 PITNEY BOWES	558.72	558.72
9/15/26	45533	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 3117053 RECOLOGY HAY ROAD	74.10	74.10
9/15/26	45534	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 21622 REGIONAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AUTHORITY	39.40	39.40
9/15/26	45535	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 100800990 TIGER MOWERS LLC	243,724.40	243,724.40
9/15/26	45536	2020SC	Invoice: STANLEY ADKINS	912.00	

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

Cash Disbursements Journal

For the Period From Sep 1, 2026 to Sep 30, 2026

Filter Criteria includes: Report order is by Date. Report is printed in Detail Format.

Date	Check #	Account ID	Line Description	Debit Amount	Credit Amount
		1015SC	STANLEY ADKINS		912.00
9/15/26	45537	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: SAINT CATHERINE SAINT CATHERINE OF SIENA CHURCH	1,463.70	1,463.70
9/15/26	45538	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 83494 VERDANTAS INC.	593.75	593.75
9/15/26	45539	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: PRAVEEN ALIMINETI PRAVEEN ALIMINETI	99.50	99.50
9/15/26	45540	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: JULIE CRANTZ JULIE CRANTZ	69.99	69.99
9/15/26	45541	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: BREAN DUNCAN BREAN DUCAN	99.50	99.50
9/15/26	45542	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: PATRICK PEARSON PATRICK PEARSON	97.25	97.25
9/15/26	45543	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: CIARA CHAPIN SALAZAR CIARA CHAPIN SALAZAR	49.98	49.98
9/15/26	45544	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 5372432 AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION	870.00	870.00
9/15/26	EFT 09.15.20	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 33186423 PAYCHEX	130.50	130.50
9/16/26	EFT 9/16/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 7/20/26 - 8/18/2026 PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO,	77.70	77.70
9/17/26	EFT 09.17.20	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 100000018423241 CALPERS	350.00	350.00
9/17/26	EFT 9.17.202	2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: PEPRA PPE 9.12.26 Invoice: PPE 9.12.26 Invoice: SIP PPE 9.12.26 CALPERS	14,770.03 10,249.28 9,133.73	34,153.04
9/18/26	EFT 9/18/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 6152053180 VERIZON WIRELESS	1,587.35	1,587.35
9/21/26	EFT 9/21/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 115108892 WEX BANK	1,327.76	1,327.76
9/22/26	45545	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: B012512300 AIR SYSTEMS SERVICE & CONST.	1,090.27	1,090.27
9/22/26	45546	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 DEANNA ALLEN	195.00	195.00
9/22/26	45547	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 4732105-2 Invoice: 4729449-2 CONNOISSEUR MEDIA HOLDCO, INC	3,000.00 4,500.00	7,500.00
9/22/26	45548	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 BREANN ANDERSON	5,885.00	5,885.00
9/22/26	45549	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 JADEN BEAZ	345.00	345.00
9/22/26	45550	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 23201943 BAY ALARM	2,850.00	2,850.00
9/22/26	45551	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL BERG	10.00	10.00
9/22/26	45552	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 9388112793 Invoice: 9388189376 CINTAS CORPORATION	144.61 169.65	314.26

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

Cash Disbursements Journal

For the Period From Sep 1, 2026 to Sep 30, 2026

Filter Criteria includes: Report order is by Date. Report is printed in Detail Format.

Date	Check #	Account ID	Line Description	Debit Amount	Credit Amount
9/22/26	45553	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 34621 CONNREX DIGITAL	10,250.00	10,250.00
9/22/26	45554	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 CAELAN DAVIS	685.00	685.00
9/22/26	45555	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 ADAM ESPINOZA	200.00	200.00
9/22/26	45556	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 TOBY FAFARD	615.00	615.00
9/22/26	45557	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 JULIE FEATHERSTON	10.00	10.00
9/22/26	45558	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 TRACY FEATHERSTON	1,775.00	1,775.00
9/22/26	45559	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 LESLEYANNE GALINDO	1,055.00	1,055.00
9/22/26	45560	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 AMBER GARCIA	25.00	25.00
9/22/26	45561	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 25085 G & G HEAVY EQUIPMENT RENTALS, LLC	13,700.00	13,700.00
9/22/26	45562	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 ALANAH GIBB	1,340.00	1,340.00
9/22/26	45563	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 9051189000 W.W.GRAINGER, INC. DEPT 807573308	97.10	97.10
9/22/26	45564	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 DANIELA GUTIERREZ	1,505.00	1,505.00
9/22/26	45565	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 JULIAN S. GUTIERREZ SANCHEZ	275.00	275.00
9/22/26	45566	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 MASON HANCOCK	1,460.00	1,460.00
9/22/26	45567	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 MARK HAVEN	445.00	445.00
9/22/26	45568	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 HOLLIS ELIZABETH GUTIERREZ	2,265.00	2,265.00
9/22/26	45569	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 HAYLIE M. NEVAREZ	1,385.00	1,385.00
9/22/26	45570	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 JOSEPH COSTANZO	110.00	110.00
9/22/26	45571	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 JAMES L DAVIS	290.00	290.00
9/22/26	45572	2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: SEP 2026 EXEC MTG Invoice: SEP 2026 BOD MTG Invoice: AUG 2026 BAYDELTA TF JOHN D. KLUGE	150.00 150.00 150.00	450.00
9/22/26	45573	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 645744 Invoice: 646464 M&M SANITARY LLC	134.69 200.00	334.69
9/22/26	45574	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO BUS AUG 2026 MARKLEY COVE RESORT	15,000.00	15,000.00
9/22/26	45575	2020SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026	1,870.00	

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

Cash Disbursements Journal

For the Period From Sep 1, 2026 to Sep 30, 2026

Filter Criteria includes: Report order is by Date. Report is printed in Detail Format.

Date	Check #	Account ID	Line Description	Debit Amount	Credit Amount
		1015SC	ALANNAH LEANNE ORR		1,870.00
9/22/26	45576	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: ERVIN ESPANA ERVIN ALCANTARA ESPANA	30.36	30.36
9/22/26	45577	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: SAWIWAN RAKPONGS SAWIWAN RAKPONGS	35.55	35.55
9/22/26	45578	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: CHRISTINA SALUNA CHRISTINA SALUNA	100.00	100.00
9/22/26	45579	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: DARREN WONG DARREN WONG	39.50	39.50
9/22/26	45580	2020N 1015SC	Invoice: 17144841 PAPE MACHINERY	13.76	13.76
9/22/26	45581	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 PAMALA DAVIS	635.00	635.00
9/22/26	45582	2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 084966 Invoice: 085629 Invoice: 085951 Invoice: 086031 Invoice: 086289 Invoice: 086344 Invoice: 086665 Invoice: 086764 Invoice: 086765 Invoice: 086984 PISANI'S AUTO PARTS	265.95 4.54 129.10 83.20 73.69 11.91 18.39 37.87 13.09 25.02	662.76
9/22/26	45583	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO BUS AUG 2026 BERRYESSA SMI OPCO L	4,495.00	4,495.00
9/22/26	45584	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO BUS AUG 2026 ROYAL ELK PARK MANAGMENT, INC	10,685.00	10,685.00
9/22/26	45585	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: INVW5370145 UBEO WEST LLC	253.09	253.09
9/22/26	45586	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: WCP-497 RESOURCE INNOVATIONS, INC.	6,351.26	6,351.26
9/22/26	45587	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 JERAMIE RIVERA	1,475.00	1,475.00
9/22/26	45588	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 NICHOLAS ROGERS	905.00	905.00
9/22/26	45589	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 DAVETTA SANFORD	1,440.00	1,440.00
9/22/26	45590	2020U 1015SC	Invoice: AUG - 2026 SOLANO COUNTY FLEET MANAGEMENT	309.26	309.26
9/22/26	45591	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 0053927 SOLANO IRRIGATION DISTRICT	1,880.88	1,880.88
9/22/26	45592	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 SPANISH FLAT CAMPGROUND INC.	3,335.00	3,335.00
9/22/26	45593	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 BOBBIE STARNES	220.00	220.00
9/22/26	45594	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO BUS AUG 2026 STEELE CANYON CAMPGROUND	10,355.00	10,355.00
9/22/26	45595	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 JULIA S. TAPIA	1,265.00	1,265.00

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

Cash Disbursements Journal

For the Period From Sep 1, 2026 to Sep 30, 2026

Filter Criteria includes: Report order is by Date. Report is printed in Detail Format.

Date	Check #	Account ID	Line Description	Debit Amount	Credit Amount
9/22/26	45596	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 ANTHONY MASSIE	1,050.00	1,050.00
9/22/26	45597	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 622 Invoice: 621 TRPA FISH BIOLOGISTS	7,411.07 3,200.00	10,611.07
9/22/26	45598	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: SHAYNE JOHNSTON SHAYNE JOHNSTON	54.21	54.21
9/22/26	45599	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: ALICIA PLAYER ALICIA PLAYER	89.22	89.22
9/22/26	45600	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: VILMA QUIRINDONGO VILMA QUIRINDONGO	51.03	51.03
9/22/26	45601	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 MATTHEW WEINSTEIN	60.00	60.00
9/22/26	45602	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO INC AUG 2026 WESTLEY MILLER	75.00	75.00
9/22/26	45603	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 5998 EYASCO, INC.	34,653.75	34,653.75
9/22/26	45604	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LBO STAFF AUG 2026 MARKLEY COVE RESORT	15,000.00	15,000.00
9/22/26	EFT 9/22/202	2020N 1015SC	Invoice: 9-458-53964 FEDEX EXPRESS	154.98	154.98
9/22/26	EFT 9/22/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 38566300 SUPERIOR PLUS PROPANE	17.18	17.18
9/22/26	EFT 9/22/202	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 176M-Y6XN-VDWN Invoice: 16CK-34FY-HNT1 AMAZON CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.	29.37 93.53	122.90
9/25/26	BARR AUG	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: BARR AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	114.06	114.06
9/25/26	CAMADDO	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: CAMADDO AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	540.00	540.00
9/25/26	CUETARA A	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: CUETARA AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	861.87	861.87
9/25/26	EVANS AUG	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: EVANS AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	1,696.53	1,696.53
9/25/26	FEHRENKA	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: FEHRENKAMP AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	215.00	215.00
9/25/26	FERRENTIN	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: FERRENTINO AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	6.48	6.48
9/25/26	FOWLER AU	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: FOWLER AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	1,529.41	1,529.41
9/25/26	FOX AUG 20	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: FOX AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	890.43	890.43
9/25/26	GANTNER A	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: GANTNER AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	1,943.26	1,943.26
9/25/26	HOANG AU	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: HOANG AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	794.76	794.76
9/25/26	HYER AUG	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: HYER AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	1,580.97	1,580.97

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

Cash Disbursements Journal

For the Period From Sep 1, 2026 to Sep 30, 2026

Filter Criteria includes: Report order is by Date. Report is printed in Detail Format.

Date	Check #	Account ID	Line Description	Debit Amount	Credit Amount
9/25/26	LEE AUG 20	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LEE AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	1,596.98	1,596.98
9/25/26	MOORE AU	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: MOORE AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	1,363.27	1,363.27
9/25/26	POORE AUG	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: POORE AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	1,342.06	1,342.06
9/25/26	RABIDOUX	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: RABIDOUX AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	2,337.51	2,337.51
9/25/26	RIVERA AU	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: RIVERA AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	1,452.00	1,452.00
9/25/26	SHTAYYEH	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: SHTAYYEH AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	457.86	457.86
9/25/26	STEVENSO	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: STEVENSON AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	1,044.85	1,044.85
9/25/26	TURLEY AU	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: TURLEY AUG 2026 COLUMBIA BANK	953.75	953.75
9/26/26	PPE 9/26/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: PPE 9/26/26 PAYROLL TAXES	33,977.97	33,977.97
9/26/26	PPE 9/26/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: GARNISH PPE 9/26/26 CALIFORNIA STATE DISBURSEMENT UNIT	153.23	153.23
9/26/26	PPE 9/26/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 105214 ONEPOINT HUMAN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC	680.78	680.78
9/28/26	EFT 9/28/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 8/11/26 - 9/9/26 PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO,	3,946.66	3,946.66
9/29/26	45346V	2020U 1015SC	Invoice: FELTON BROUSSARD FELTON BROUSSARD	954.00	954.00
9/29/26	45605	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 1368629 Invoice: TS1368779 APEX TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT LLC	560.00 11,742.65	12,302.65
9/29/26	45606	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 866024 CANON FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.	919.01	919.01
9/29/26	45607	2020N 1015SC	Invoice: 65177 COASTLAND CIVIL ENGINEERING LLP	10,341.88	10,341.88
9/29/26	45608	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 27-041-U DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES	191,768.04	191,768.04
9/29/26	45609	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 20933 EAGLE AERIAL SOLUTIONS	135,886.00	135,886.00
9/29/26	45610	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: INV00124320 M-FILES INC	15,053.41	15,053.41
9/29/26	45611	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: OH158070 NORTHBAY HEALTHCARE GROUP OCC HEALTH DE	130.00	130.00
9/29/26	45612	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: SEPT 2026 BOD MTG DORISS PANDURO	168.24	168.24
9/29/26	45613	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 2311 R&V SCREEN PRINTING	883.32	883.32

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

Cash Disbursements Journal

For the Period From Sep 1, 2026 to Sep 30, 2026

Filter Criteria includes: Report order is by Date. Report is printed in Detail Format.

Date	Check #	Account ID	Line Description	Debit Amount	Credit Amount
9/29/26	45614	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 034-OCT-2026 REEB GOVERNMENT RELATIONS, LLC	10,000.00	10,000.00
9/29/26	45615	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: LATE FEE DEC 2025 Invoice: LATE FEE SEPT 2025 SECRETARY OF STATE	420.00 1,360.00	1,780.00
9/29/26	45616	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 754661 SPENCER BUILDING MAINTENANCE	1,873.13	1,873.13
9/29/26	45617	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 2026-02457 TERRA REALTY ADVISORS, INC.	2,090.00	2,090.00
9/29/26	45618	2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 720355 Invoice: 721035 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CA	60,473.27 174,350.93	234,824.20
9/29/26	45619	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 2068710 WEST YOST & ASSOCIATES, INC.	14,153.50	14,153.50
9/29/26	45620	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 90208779 DEPT OF INTERIOR	4,387.50	4,387.50
9/29/26	45621	2020U 1015SC	Invoice: FELTON BROUSSARD FELTON BROUSSARD	954.00	954.00
9/29/26	EFT 9/29/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: CL72251 INTERSTATE OIL COMPANY	2,905.73	2,905.73
9/29/26	EFT 9/29/202	2020SC 2020SC 2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 1N6R-MTG6-3XJM Invoice: 1VPF-QRYH-PJ3Y Invoice: 1CKY-1RXW-PMM4 AMAZON CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.	98.55 10.59 12.96	122.10
9/30/26	45620V	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 90208779 DEPT OF INTERIOR	4,387.50	4,387.50
9/30/26	EFT 9/30/202	2020SC 1015SC	Invoice: 90208779 DEPT OF INTERIOR	4,387.50	4,387.50
Total				2,701,737.96	2,701,737.96

ACTION OF
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

DATE: October 8, 2026

SUBJECT: Financial Report Approval

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the quarterly Income Statement and Balance Sheet for the period ending September 2026.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

All revenues and expenditures are reported within previously approved budget amounts.

BACKGROUND:

The Water Agency auditor has recommended that the Board of Directors receive quarterly financial reports. Attached are the Income Statement and the Balance Sheet of the Water Agency for the period ending September 2026. Additional backup information is available upon request.

Recommended:  _____
Chris Lee, General Manager

☐	Approved as Recommended	☐	Other (see below)	☐	Continued on next page
--------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------

Modification to Recommendation and/or other actions:

I, Chris Lee, General Manager and Secretary to the Solano County Water Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing action was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by said Board of Directors at a regular meeting thereof held on October 8, 2026, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

Chris Lee
General Manager & Secretary to the
Solano County Water Agency

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY
Year to Date Income Statement
Compared with Budget and Last Year
For the Three Months Ending September 30, 2026

		Current Year Actual	Current Year Budget	Variance Amount	Variance Percent	Last Year Actual	Change from Last Year	Percent Change
Revenues								
4001G	SECURED	\$ 0.00	\$ 130,000.00	(130,000.00)	(100.00)	\$ 0.00	0.00	0.00
4001N	SECURED	0.00	19,493,200.00	(19,493,200.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4001SC	SECURED	0.00	11,640,900.00	(11,640,900.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4001U	SECURED	0.00	1,583,000.00	(1,583,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4002G	UNSECURED	0.00	7,000.00	(7,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4002N	UNSECURED	0.00	499,200.00	(499,200.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4002SC	UNSECURED	0.00	334,100.00	(334,100.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4002U	UNSECURED	0.00	43,100.00	(43,100.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4004G	CURRENT SUPPLEMENTAL	0.00	9,100.00	(9,100.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4004N	CURRENT SUPPLEMENTAL	0.00	348,400.00	(348,400.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4004SC	CURRENT SUPPLEMENTAL	0.00	371,265.00	(371,265.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4004U	CURRENT SUPPLEMENTAL	0.00	100,700.00	(100,700.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4100N	WATER SALES	430,500.00	1,843,000.00	(1,412,500.00)	(76.64)	0.00	430,500.00	0.00
4100SC	WATER SALES	21,800.00	80,000.00	(58,200.00)	(72.75)	0.00	21,800.00	0.00
4102N	COST OF POWER TO PUMP NBA	0.00	40,000.00	(40,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4103N	CONVEYANCE SETTLEMENT	0.00	400,000.00	(400,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4110N	NAPA MAKE WHOLE	0.00	312,000.00	(312,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4120N	SWP ADJUSTMENTS	3,110.00	900,000.00	(896,890.00)	(99.65)	0.00	3,110.00	0.00
4402WC	INTEREST - MONEY MGMT	53.37	250.00	(196.63)	(78.65)	99.14	(45.77)	(46.17)
4403SC	INTEREST - CHECKING	906.39	1,000.00	(93.61)	(9.36)	531.04	375.35	70.68
4404G	INTEREST - LAIF - GREEN VALLEY	0.00	4,000.00	(4,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4404N	INTEREST - LAIF - SWP	0.00	375,000.00	(375,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4404SC	INTEREST - LAIF - SP	0.00	120,000.00	(120,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4404U	INTEREST - LAIF - ULATIS	0.00	110,000.00	(110,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4405G	INTEREST - CAMP - GREEN VALLEY	3,217.32	14,000.00	(10,782.68)	(77.02)	4,084.46	(867.14)	(21.23)
4405N	INTEREST - CAMP - SWP	178,466.98	900,000.00	(721,533.02)	(80.17)	272,360.29	(93,893.31)	(34.47)
4405SC	INTEREST - CAMP - SP	62,379.99	275,000.00	(212,620.01)	(77.32)	85,791.52	(23,411.53)	(27.29)
4405U	INTEREST - CAMP - ULATIS	47,855.59	245,000.00	(197,144.41)	(80.47)	74,453.62	(26,598.03)	(35.72)
4407G	INTEREST- INVESTMENT	988.07	4,000.00	(3,011.93)	(75.30)	817.19	170.88	20.91
4407N	INTEREST - INVESTMENTS	54,809.22	300,000.00	(245,190.78)	(81.73)	54,491.71	317.51	0.58
4407SC	INTEREST - INVESTMENTS	19,157.60	70,000.00	(50,842.40)	(72.63)	17,164.49	1,993.11	11.61
4407U	INTEREST - INVESTMENTS	14,696.99	63,000.00	(48,303.01)	(76.67)	14,896.10	(199.11)	(1.34)
4409G	LAIF FAIR MARKET VALUE	157.07	0.00	157.07	0.00	(130.76)	287.83	(220.12)
4409N	LAIF FAIR MARKET VALUE	9,554.12	0.00	9,554.12	0.00	(9,395.47)	18,949.59	(201.69)
4409SC	LAIF FAIR MARKET VALUE	3,303.18	0.00	3,303.18	0.00	(3,547.54)	6,850.72	(193.11)

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY
Year to Date Income Statement
Compared with Budget and Last Year
For the Three Months Ending September 30, 2026

		Current Year	Current Year	Variance	Variance	Last Year	Change from	Percent
		Actual	Budget	Amount	Percent	Actual	Last Year	Change
4409U	LAIF FAIR MARKET VALUE	2,661.19	0.00	2,661.19	0.00	(2,898.85)	5,560.04	(191.80)
4507G	HOMEOWNER RELIEF	0.00	1,300.00	(1,300.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4507N	HOMEOWNER RELIEF	0.00	86,100.00	(86,100.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4507SC	HOMEOWNER RELIEF	0.00	67,500.00	(67,500.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4507U	HOMEOWNER RELIEF	0.00	10,400.00	(10,400.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4600SC	REDEVELOP - DIX/RV	0.00	55,900.00	(55,900.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4601SC	REDEVELOP - VACAVILLE	0.00	822,800.00	(822,800.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4601U	REDEVELOP - VACAVILLE	0.00	850,000.00	(850,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4602G	REDEVELOP - FAIRFIELD	0.00	60,000.00	(60,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4602SC	REDEVELOP - FAIRFIELD	0.00	1,555,220.00	(1,555,220.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4603SC	REDEVELOP - SUISUN CITY	0.00	683,700.00	(683,700.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4605SC	REDEVELOP - N. TEXAS	0.00	69,800.00	(69,800.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4702SC	BOATING AND WATERWAYS	63,034.50	175,000.00	(111,965.50)	(63.98)	0.00	63,034.50	0.00
4706SC	NISHIKAWA GRANT	0.00	150,000.00	(150,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4900AC	MISCELLANEOUS INCOME	0.00	100.00	(100.00)	(100.00)	100.00	(100.00)	(100.00)
4900N	MISC INCOME	11,856.18	23,712.00	(11,855.82)	(50.00)	9,998.20	1,857.98	18.58
4900SC	MISCELLANEOUS INCOME	11,856.19	23,712.00	(11,855.81)	(50.00)	9,998.20	1,857.99	18.58
4904SC	MUSSEL DECONTAMINATIONS	22,775.00	50,000.00	(27,225.00)	(54.45)	29,476.00	(6,701.00)	(22.73)
4906SC	SACKETT RANCH LEASE REVENUE	4,500.00	6,000.00	(1,500.00)	(25.00)	6,000.00	(1,500.00)	(25.00)
4916AC	SGSA REIMBURSEMENT	0.00	251,430.00	(251,430.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4916SC	SGSA REIMBURSEMENT	0.00	279,256.00	(279,256.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4930U	O&M - OTHER AGENCIES	0.00	12,000.00	(12,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4940AC	OVERHEAD DISTRIBUTION REIMB	268,901.85	8,466,318.00	(8,197,416.15)	(96.82)	1,470,245.56	(1,201,343.71)	(81.71)
4960WC	WATERMASTER INCOME	62.82	4,600.00	(4,537.18)	(98.63)	214.47	(151.65)	(70.71)
4969SC	USBR WATERSHED MGT-IDR	0.00	100,000.00	(100,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4970AC	WATER CONSERVATION REIMBURSE	0.00	230,000.00	(230,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
4989SC	PC FISH PASSAGE @CR 106A	0.00	200,000.00	(200,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Revenues		1,236,603.62	54,851,063.00	(53,614,459.38)	(97.75)	2,034,749.37	(798,145.75)	(39.23)
Cost of Sales								
Total Cost of Sales		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gross Profit		1,236,603.62	54,851,063.00	(53,614,459.38)	(97.75)	2,034,749.37	(798,145.75)	(39.23)

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY
Year to Date Income Statement
Compared with Budget and Last Year
For the Three Months Ending September 30, 2026

		Current Year Actual	Current Year Budget	Variance Amount	Variance Percent	Last Year Actual	Change from Last Year	Percent Change
Expenses								
5500G	CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	12,186.22	12,500.00	(313.78)	(2.51)	0.00	12,186.22	0.00
5500N	CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	0.00	30,000.00	(30,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
5500SC	CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	24,372.44	195,000.00	(170,627.56)	(87.50)	195,755.99	(171,383.55)	(87.55)
5500U	CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	207,165.74	187,500.00	19,665.74	10.49	135,679.53	71,486.21	52.69
6010AC	GROSS SALARIES	996,985.28	4,565,570.00	(3,568,584.72)	(78.16)	948,771.07	48,214.21	5.08
6011AC	PERS RETIREMENT	111,907.59	477,240.00	(365,332.41)	(76.55)	102,893.49	9,014.10	8.76
6012AC	PAYROLL TAXES	33,643.59	179,040.00	(145,396.41)	(81.21)	33,669.71	(26.12)	(0.08)
6013AC	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	156,488.61	748,590.00	(592,101.39)	(79.10)	145,522.18	10,966.43	7.54
6016AC	OPEB/PENSION UNFUNDED EXPENSE	0.00	200,000.00	(200,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6030AC	TELEPHONE	7,146.80	42,000.00	(34,853.20)	(82.98)	7,170.18	(23.38)	(0.33)
6040AC	OFFICE EXPENSE	45,636.23	282,600.00	(236,963.77)	(83.85)	52,247.94	(6,611.71)	(12.65)
6041AC	OFFICE EQUIPMENT	3,925.89	27,000.00	(23,074.11)	(85.46)	4,763.89	(838.00)	(17.59)
6042AC	SAFETY TRAINING & EQUIPMENT	6,197.73	43,500.00	(37,302.27)	(85.75)	1,688.92	4,508.81	266.96
6044AC	HR -EMPLOYEE SUPPORT	6,215.00	88,500.00	(82,285.00)	(92.98)	31,803.02	(25,588.02)	(80.46)
6045AC	LAUNDRY	32,986.69	0.00	32,986.69	0.00	0.00	32,986.69	0.00
6050AC	POSTAGE	732.81	4,500.00	(3,767.19)	(83.72)	741.11	(8.30)	(1.12)
6060AC	SID OFFICE EXPENSE	3,005.50	26,015.00	(23,009.50)	(88.45)	2,649.21	356.29	13.45
6090AC	MEMBERSHIPS	3,699.49	9,800.00	(6,100.51)	(62.25)	3,747.54	(48.05)	(1.28)
6090N	SWC DUES & MEMBERSHIPS	14,039.22	195,500.00	(181,460.78)	(92.82)	124,353.42	(110,314.20)	(88.71)
6090SC	MEMBERSHIPS	14,039.21	88,000.00	(73,960.79)	(84.05)	18,576.42	(4,537.21)	(24.42)
6100G	PPTY TAX ADMIN FEE	0.00	1,325.00	(1,325.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6100SC	PPTY TAX ADMIN FEE	0.00	125,000.00	(125,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6100U	PPTY TAX ADMIN FEE	0.00	17,000.00	(17,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6105N	PETERSEN RANCH EXPENSES	0.00	121,696.00	(121,696.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6105SC	PETERSEN RANCH EXPENSES	54,916.93	121,696.00	(66,779.07)	(54.87)	19,947.53	34,969.40	175.31
6106N	SWP- SACKETT RANCH	0.00	66,868.00	(66,868.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6106SC	SACKETT RANCH EXPENSES	48,182.87	200,602.00	(152,419.13)	(75.98)	45,332.53	2,850.34	6.29
6107N	LANG-TULE MAINTENANCE	34,086.65	50,000.00	(15,913.35)	(31.83)	2,074.16	32,012.49	1,543.40
6111AC	PS - PAYROLL SERVICES	2,718.28	13,700.00	(10,981.72)	(80.16)	2,604.50	113.78	4.37
6112AC	PS - COMPUTER SERVICES	51,410.55	263,500.00	(212,089.45)	(80.49)	57,260.22	(5,849.67)	(10.22)
6115AC	TALENT DECISION MONITORING	6,204.48	24,200.00	(17,995.52)	(74.36)	8,554.50	(2,350.02)	(27.47)
6128AC	GOVERNMENTAL ADVOCACY	0.00	94,500.00	(94,500.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6128N	GOVERNMENTAL ADVOCACY	29,515.00	100,000.00	(70,485.00)	(70.49)	27,875.00	1,640.00	5.88
6128SC	GOVERNMENTAL ADVOCACY	29,515.00	0.00	29,515.00	0.00	27,875.00	1,640.00	5.88

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY
Year to Date Income Statement
Compared with Budget and Last Year
For the Three Months Ending September 30, 2026

		Current Year	Current Year	Variance	Variance	Last Year	Change from	Percent
		Actual	Budget	Amount	Percent	Actual	Last Year	Change
6130SC	LPCCC - VEGETATION	572.27	17,373.00	(16,800.73)	(96.71)	0.00	572.27	0.00
6140AC	CONSULTANTS	25,650.65	384,910.00	(359,259.35)	(93.34)	8,565.40	17,085.25	199.47
6140N	CONSULTANTS	25,775.34	266,000.00	(240,224.66)	(90.31)	37,948.87	(12,173.53)	(32.08)
6140SC	CONSULTANTS	50,677.77	545,000.00	(494,322.23)	(90.70)	107,534.17	(56,856.40)	(52.87)
6140U	CONSULTANTS	8,144.62	92,500.00	(84,355.38)	(91.20)	24,678.46	(16,533.84)	(67.00)
6144AC	HYDROLOGY STATIONS	3,117.92	24,015.00	(20,897.08)	(87.02)	4,150.25	(1,032.33)	(24.87)
6144N	HYDROLOGY STATIONS	6,710.66	67,000.00	(60,289.34)	(89.98)	6,728.14	(17.48)	(0.26)
6144SC	HYDROLOGY STATIONS	11,543.03	120,000.00	(108,456.97)	(90.38)	24,712.72	(13,169.69)	(53.29)
6144U	HYDROLOGY STATIONS	1,714.55	25,000.00	(23,285.45)	(93.14)	1,388.42	326.13	23.49
6148SC	LPCCC - WILDLIFE	0.00	95,554.00	(95,554.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6149SC	LPCCC - FISHERIES	0.00	95,554.00	(95,554.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6161N	WATERSHED PROGRAM	4,282.12	123,800.00	(119,517.88)	(96.54)	8,477.30	(4,195.18)	(49.49)
6161SC	SOLANO PROJECT WQ MONITORING	550.63	17,500.00	(16,949.37)	(96.85)	0.00	550.63	0.00
6164N	INVASIVE SPECIES	4,153.90	172,407.00	(168,253.10)	(97.59)	0.00	4,153.90	0.00
6164SC	SOLANO PROJECT INVASIVES	10,315.93	267,860.00	(257,544.07)	(96.15)	13,484.06	(3,168.13)	(23.50)
6165N	Yolo Bypass/Cache Slough Progr	0.00	676,000.00	(676,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6166SC	UPPER PUTAH CREEK MGMT	257,533.24	1,365,576.00	(1,108,042.76)	(81.14)	323,961.36	(66,428.12)	(20.50)
6170N	NBA RELIABILITY PROGRAM	0.00	150,000.00	(150,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6170SC	INTER-DAM REACH MANAGEMENT	7,661.07	25,600.00	(17,938.93)	(70.07)	8,262.10	(601.03)	(7.27)
6170WC	MBK	4,998.70	42,000.00	(37,001.30)	(88.10)	8,576.80	(3,578.10)	(41.72)
6181SC	LPCCC EQUIPMENT	4,844.17	55,000.00	(50,155.83)	(91.19)	6,563.93	(1,719.76)	(26.20)
6183SC	LPCCC NURSERY	4,961.92	150,000.00	(145,038.08)	(96.69)	26,319.04	(21,357.12)	(81.15)
6198SC	USBR WATERSHED MGT -IDR(R25AP)	289.78	100,000.00	(99,710.22)	(99.71)	0.00	289.78	0.00
6199SC	LPCCC MISC. SUPPLIES	498.50	10,000.00	(9,501.50)	(95.02)	1,427.34	(928.84)	(65.07)
6202SC	WCB PC FISH PASSAGE CR 106A	5,882.19	700,000.00	(694,117.81)	(99.16)	0.00	5,882.19	0.00
6210AC	BOARD EXPENSES	4,431.24	30,000.00	(25,568.76)	(85.23)	5,246.86	(815.62)	(15.54)
6230SC	FIELD SUPPLIES	12,514.31	90,000.00	(77,485.69)	(86.10)	19,052.86	(6,538.55)	(34.32)
6230WC	MISC WATERMASTER EXP	0.00	300.00	(300.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6250SC	HCP PLANNING	40,991.25	517,000.00	(476,008.75)	(92.07)	119,421.61	(78,430.36)	(65.68)
6300AC	CAR MAINTENANCE	10,656.61	33,500.00	(22,843.39)	(68.19)	8,198.16	2,458.45	29.99
6310AC	FUEL	10,909.38	49,000.00	(38,090.62)	(77.74)	9,037.26	1,872.12	20.72
6320U	GARAGE SERVICES	309.26	20,000.00	(19,690.74)	(98.45)	3,273.96	(2,964.70)	(90.55)
6330AC	TRAVEL	2,966.55	10,000.00	(7,033.45)	(70.33)	1,675.45	1,291.10	77.06
6340AC	EMPLOYEE REIMBURSEMENTS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	(98.87)	98.87	(100.00)
6350AC	INSURANCE	85,100.37	331,500.00	(246,399.63)	(74.33)	70,193.96	14,906.41	21.24
6360AC	EDUCATION & TRAINING	22,256.11	60,000.00	(37,743.89)	(62.91)	12,521.73	9,734.38	77.74

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY
Year to Date Income Statement
Compared with Budget and Last Year
For the Three Months Ending September 30, 2026

		Current Year	Current Year	Variance	Variance	Last Year	Change from	Percent
		Actual	Budget	Amount	Percent	Actual	Last Year	Change
6410AC	COMP SOFTWARE/EQUIP	9,376.08	159,500.00	(150,123.92)	(94.12)	44,621.97	(35,245.89)	(78.99)
6551AC	WATER CONSERVATION	178,570.38	655,225.00	(476,654.62)	(72.75)	203,933.97	(25,363.59)	(12.44)
6551N	WATER CONSERVATION	0.00	434,225.00	(434,225.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6554AC	MISC. WATER CONSERVATION GRAN'	5,249.70	0.00	5,249.70	0.00	16,613.30	(11,363.60)	(68.40)
6600AC	MELLON LEVEE	0.00	20,000.00	(20,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6600SC	PSC MAINTENANCE	68,872.05	918,000.00	(849,127.95)	(92.50)	145,277.34	(76,405.29)	(52.59)
6610AC	FLOOD CONTROL	4,981.12	60,000.00	(55,018.88)	(91.70)	19,919.60	(14,938.48)	(74.99)
6612AC	PUBLIC EDUCATION	0.00	250,000.00	(250,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6614AC	SOLANO SUB-BASIN GSA	17,474.24	26,000.00	(8,525.76)	(32.79)	6,244.00	11,230.24	179.86
6614SC	SGSA GROUND WATER	151,810.72	140,000.00	11,810.72	8.44	26,723.30	125,087.42	468.08
6618N	HEALTHY RIVERS & LANDSCAPES	0.00	320,240.00	(320,240.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6618SC	HEALTHY RIVERS & LANDSCAPES	238,202.21	960,718.00	(722,515.79)	(75.21)	50,472.42	187,729.79	371.95
6620G	LABOR	0.00	40,000.00	(40,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6620SC	LOWER PUTAH CREEK(NON-ACCORD	3,764.51	85,000.00	(81,235.49)	(95.57)	5,112.32	(1,347.81)	(26.36)
6620U	LABOR	0.00	515,000.00	(515,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6622SC	NISHIKAWA PROJECT GRANT - SP	17,939.58	225,000.00	(207,060.42)	(92.03)	43,714.33	(25,774.75)	(58.96)
6630SC	SP ADMINISTRATION	135,793.83	1,750,000.00	(1,614,206.17)	(92.24)	281,587.83	(145,794.00)	(51.78)
6640SC	PSC OPERATIONS	205,667.93	485,500.00	(279,832.07)	(57.64)	38,541.66	167,126.27	433.62
6645SC	DAM MAINTENANCE	621.24	50,500.00	(49,878.76)	(98.77)	31,458.17	(30,836.93)	(98.03)
6646SC	DAM OPERATIONS	129,975.69	445,000.00	(315,024.31)	(70.79)	82,126.68	47,849.01	58.26
6650G	WEED CONTROL	0.00	5,000.00	(5,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6650U	SP PEST MANAGEMENT	0.00	100,000.00	(100,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6660G	EQUIP - TRANS DEPT	0.00	15,000.00	(15,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6660U	EQUIP - TRANS DEPT	0.00	110,000.00	(110,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6670G	SUPPLIES	0.00	1,000.00	(1,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6670U	SUPPLIES	2,165.05	105,000.00	(102,834.95)	(97.94)	3,408.32	(1,243.27)	(36.48)
6675G	CONTRACT WORK	0.00	15,000.00	(15,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6675U	CONTRACT WORK	0.00	70,000.00	(70,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6680G	TRANS DEPT OVERHEAD	0.00	15,000.00	(15,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6680U	TRANS DEPT OVERHEAD	0.00	230,000.00	(230,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6690N	NBA REHAB & BETTERMENT	13,795.63	500,000.00	(486,204.37)	(97.24)	3,270.00	10,525.63	321.88
6690SC	REHAB & BETTERMENT	34,705.59	1,430,000.00	(1,395,294.41)	(97.57)	12,177.26	22,528.33	185.00
6690U	REHAB & BETTERMENT	0.00	171,000.00	(171,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6700N	WATER PURCHASES	6,830,023.04	20,029,185.00	(13,199,161.96)	(65.90)	5,503,208.00	1,326,815.04	24.11
6700SC	USBR ADMINISTRATION	0.00	65,000.00	(65,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6701SC	WATER RIGHTS FEE	0.00	130,000.00	(130,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY
Year to Date Income Statement
Compared with Budget and Last Year
For the Three Months Ending September 30, 2026

		Current Year	Current Year	Variance	Variance	Last Year	Change from	Percent
		Actual	Budget	Amount	Percent	Actual	Last Year	Change
6710N	NAPA MAKE WHOLE	0.00	312,000.00	(312,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6950AC	LABOR COSTS	28,310.19	363,167.00	(334,856.81)	(92.20)	65,952.41	(37,642.22)	(57.07)
6950G	LABOR COSTS	197.17	13,504.00	(13,306.83)	(98.54)	299.24	(102.07)	(34.11)
6950N	LABOR COSTS	38,098.61	602,604.00	(564,505.39)	(93.68)	66,024.33	(27,925.72)	(42.30)
6950SC	LABOR COSTS	128,550.13	1,325,672.00	(1,197,121.87)	(90.30)	323,135.93	(194,585.80)	(60.22)
6950U	LABOR COSTS	9,883.07	119,067.00	(109,183.93)	(91.70)	29,329.97	(19,446.90)	(66.30)
6951AC	INTRA-FUND TRANSFER	(28,310.19)	(907,918.00)	879,607.81	(96.88)	(152,380.37)	124,070.18	(81.42)
6952AC	OVERHEAD EXPENSES	0.00	544,751.00	(544,751.00)	(100.00)	86,427.95	(86,427.95)	(100.00)
6952G	OVERHEAD EXPENSES	0.00	20,256.00	(20,256.00)	(100.00)	392.14	(392.14)	(100.00)
6952N	OVERHEAD EXPENSES	0.00	1,116,382.00	(1,116,382.00)	(100.00)	92,526.31	(92,526.31)	(100.00)
6952SC	OVERHEAD EXPENSES	0.00	3,765,091.00	(3,765,091.00)	(100.00)	702,547.82	(702,547.82)	(100.00)
6952U	OVERHEAD EXPENSES	0.00	178,601.00	(178,601.00)	(100.00)	38,435.73	(38,435.73)	(100.00)
6990AC	CONTINGENCY	0.00	30,000.00	(30,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6990G	CONTINGENCY	0.00	5,000.00	(5,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6990N	CONTINGENCY	0.00	50,000.00	(50,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6990SC	CONTINGENCY	0.00	50,000.00	(50,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
6990U	CONTINGENCY	0.00	50,000.00	(50,000.00)	(100.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Expenses		10,792,655.41	53,502,161.00	(42,709,505.59)	(79.83)	10,633,960.33	158,695.08	1.49
Net Income		<u>(\$ 9,556,051.79)</u>	<u>\$ 1,348,902.00</u>	<u>(10,904,953.79)</u>	<u>(808.43)</u>	<u>(\$ 8,599,210.96)</u>	<u>(956,840.83)</u>	11.13

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY
Balance Sheet
September 30, 2026

ASSETS

Current Assets			ADMIN/SP/WC	SWP(N)	U	GV
1000SC	PERSHING	\$ 298,490.80	298,490.80			
1015G	CHECKING -FIRST NORTHERN	\$ 3,489,231.12	(15,834,209.79)	11,489,262.14	8,272,449.15	(438,270.38)
1015WC	MONEY MGMT - WATERMASTER	\$ 69,376.36	69,376.36			
1030G	LAIF -	\$ 14,037,173.79	3,658,890.94	8,519,517.50	1,704,305.73	154,459.62
1040G	CAMP -	\$ 45,579,805.79	14,357,739.25	27,754,683.92	2,389,639.14	1,077,743.48
1050G	CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT	\$ 10,381,102.09	2,614,742.69	6,367,574.76	1,271,821.23	126,963.41
1060SC	PETTY CASH	\$ 907.09	907.09			
1210SC	ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE - SP/ADMIN	\$ 123,277.27	123,277.27			
1215SC	GRANTS RECEIVABLE	\$ 247,130.42	247,130.42			
1225AC	RETENTION RECEIVABLE	\$ 16,460.55	16,460.55			
1400AC	PREPAID	\$ 79,975.25	79,975.25			
1415AC	INVENTORY-WATER CONSERVATION S	\$ 16,679.05	16,679.05			
Total Current Assets		<u>74,339,609.58</u>	<u>5,649,459.88</u>	<u>54,131,038.32</u>	<u>13,638,215.25</u>	<u>920,896.13</u>
Total Assets		<u><u>74,339,609.58</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 5,649,459.88</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 54,131,038.32</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 13,638,215.25</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 920,896.13</u></u>

LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL

Current Liabilities						
2010N	UNEARNED INCOME-SWP	\$ 0.00				
2020N	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE-SWP	1,430,939.95	273,609.35	1,133,044.16	24,286.44	0.00
2023AC	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS PAYABLE	22,123.34	22,123.34			
2025SC	SALES TAX PAYABLE	324.42	324.42			
2028SC	ACCRUED ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	174,350.93	130,763.20	43,587.73		
2106SC	SECURITY DEPOSIT - SACKETT RAN	500.00	500.00			
2110SC	WESTSIDE IRWMP PREFUNDED ADMIN	186,109.93	186,109.93			
Total Current Liabilities		1,814,348.57	613,430.24	1,176,631.89	24,286.44	0.00
Long-Term Liabilities						
Total Long-Term Liabilities		<u>\$ -</u>				
Total Liabilities		<u><u>\$1,814,348.57</u></u>	<u><u>\$613,430.24</u></u>	<u><u>\$1,176,631.89</u></u>	<u><u>\$24,286.44</u></u>	<u><u>\$0.00</u></u>
Capital						
3150SC	OTHER FLD CTRL CAPITAL PROJ.	(4,185,037.56)	(4,185,037.56)			
3155SC	OTHER CAPITAL PROJ/EMERG RESER	2,000,000.00	2,000,000.00			
3200G	GREEN VALLEY OPERTING RESERVE	63,043.00				63,043.00
3200N	SWP OPERATING RESERVE	12,401,954.00		12,401,954.00		
3200SC	DESIGNATED REHAB & BETTERMENT	2,000,000.00	2,000,000.00			
3200U	ULATIS OPERATING RESERVE	791,084.00			791,084.00	
3250G	GV CAPITAL RESERVE	648,949.67				648,949.67
3250N	DESIGNATED SWP FACILITIES RESE	30,909,044.55		30,909,044.55		
3250SC	SP FUTURE REPLACEMENT CAPITAL	3,719,887.31	3,719,887.31			
3250U	ULATIS FCP CAPITAL RESERVE	11,272,979.36			11,272,979.36	
3350SC	DESIGNATED OPERATING RESERVES	11,439,501.00	11,439,501.00			
39005	PRIOR YEAR RETAINED EARNINGS	11,023,517.01	2,399,924.14	7,031,097.63	1,395,150.36	197,344.88
	Net Income-Current Year	(9,559,661.33)	(3,075,288.21)	(6,312,183.67)	(164,168.52)	(8,020.93)
Total Capital		<u>72,525,261.01</u>	<u>14,298,986.68</u>	<u>44,029,912.51</u>	<u>13,295,045.20</u>	<u>901,316.62</u>
Total Liabilities & Capital		<u><u>\$ 74,339,609.58</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 14,912,416.92</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 45,206,544.40</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 13,319,331.64</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 901,316.62</u></u>

ACTION OF
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

DATE: October 8, 2026

SUBJECT: Appropriations Limit for Fiscal Year 2026-2027

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Approve Resolution Number 2026-04 of the Solano County Water Agency establishing the fiscal year 2026-2027 state appropriations limit of \$22,637,345.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

None.

BACKGROUND:

California’s state appropriation limit, established by Proposition 4 in 1979, places an “upper bound” each year on the amount of monies that can be spent from state tax proceeds. Proposition 4 is intended to limit the growth of government spending and applies to all local governments including special districts. The state appropriation limit is based on actual appropriations during the base year (1986-87) and increases each year using specified growth factors and calculations provided annually by the California Department of Finance (DOF). Certain revenues and expenses – such as water purchases and sales - are exempt and outside of the annual Appropriations Limit. Accordingly, only a portion of the Water Agency’s budget is subject to the annual Appropriations Limit.

The Water Agency’s adopted FY 2026-2027 budget is in compliance with the FY 2026-2027 appropriation limit.

Recommended:  _____
Chris Lee, General Manager

☐	Approved as Recommended	☐	Other (see below)	☐	Continued on next page
--------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------

Modification to Recommendation and/or other actions:

I, Chris Lee, General Manager and Secretary to the Solano County Water Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing action was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by said Board of Directors at a regular meeting thereof held on October 8, 2026, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

Chris Lee
General Manager & Secretary to the
Solano County Water Agency

RESOLUTION NUMBER 2026-04

A RESOLUTION OF THE SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY ADOPTING THE FISCAL YEAR
2026-27 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT

WHEREAS, on November 6, 1979, the people of California added Article XIII-B to the State Constitution, placing various limitations on State and local government appropriations, i.e., an Appropriations Limit; and

WHEREAS, on June 5, 1990, the people of California amended Article XIII-B of the State Constitution and California Government Code sections 7900 *et seq.* to include additional guidance regarding the calculation of Appropriations Limits, and to require local governments to adopt a resolution setting their respective annual Appropriations Limit; and

WHEREAS, the Appropriations Limit is required to be established each fiscal year and may be amended in subsequent years to make corrections; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has complied with the provisions of Article XIII-B of the State Constitution and California Government Code sections 7900 *et seq.* in determining the Appropriations Limit for Fiscal Year 2026-27.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of the Solano County Water Agency does hereby resolve as follows:

In accordance with Article XIII-B of the California Constitution and Government Code sections 7900 *et seq.*, the annual adjustment growth factors to calculate the Fiscal Year 2026-27 Appropriations Limit of \$22,637,345 shall be the change in the statewide per capita personal income percentage and State Department of Finance estimated change in County population as shown in Exhibit A and attached hereto.

I, CHRIS LEE, General Manager and Secretary to the Board of Directors of the Solano County Water Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was regularly introduced, passed and adopted by said Board of Directors, at a regular meeting thereof held on the 8th day of October 2026, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

Chris Lee
General Manager & Secretary to the Solano County
Water Agency


Attachment: **Exhibit A** – Appropriations Limit Calculation Summary for FY 2026-27

Exhibit A

Solano County Water Agency
Appropriations Limit Calculation Summary
Fiscal Year 2026-27 Adopted Budget

Prior Year Appropriations Limit	\$ 21,649,687
Allowed Compounded Percentage Increase from Prior Year ^[1]	
Statewide Per Capita Personal Income	4.950%
County Population Growth From State Dept of Finance	-0.370%
Compounded Percentage as an Adjustment Factor	4.562%
Growth Factor Adjustment Amount to Appropriations Limit	\$ 987,659
Current Year Appropriations Limit From Growth Factors	\$ 22,637,345
Annual Other Adjustments to Limit ^[1]	-
Current Year Appropriations Limit	\$ 22,637,345
Current Year Adopted Budget Appropriations From Proceeds of Taxes ^[2]	
Proceeds of Taxes From Adopted Budget ^[3]	39,664,545
Less Allowable Exclusion of Certain Appropriations ^[3]	(20,943,650)
Current Year Appropriations Subject to the Appropriations Limit	\$ 18,720,895
Current Year Appropriations Over or (Under) the Appropriations Limit	\$ (3,916,451)
Percentage Over or (Under) the Limit	-17%

Solano County Water Agency
Appropriations Limit Summary



(1) Article XIII B allow s annual adjustments to the Appropriations Limit after calculation of annual grow th factors.			
(2) Proceeds of Taxes are certain revenues as defined by State Law and by the League of California Cities Article XIII B Appropriations Limit Uniform Guidelines.			
(3) Summary of worksheets for above calculations of Appropriations Limit and Appropriations Subject to Limit.			
	Summary of Appropriations From Proceeds of Taxes		Total Appropriations
	Total Proceeds and Non Proceeds of Taxes		54,599,533
	Summary of Exclusions		
	Court Order Costs	465,781	Putah Creek and Lake Berryessa
	Federal Mandates	263,684	FICA/Medicare/Unemployment
	Qualified Capital Outlay Over \$100,000 and 10+ year life	187,000	Capital Improvements
	Qualified Debt Service for Capital Improvements	20,027,185	State Water Project
	Total Exclusions to Appropriations Subject to Limit	20,943,650	

ACTION OF
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

DATE: October 8, 2026

SUBJECT: Lake Berryessa Decontamination Pad Infrastructure Upgrade Grant

RECOMMENDATION:

Authorize the General Manager or designee to file grant application and execute grant agreements and any other documents necessary to secure United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation grant funding for the Lake Berryessa Mussel Prevention Program.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Possibility of obtaining up to \$488,000 in grant funds.

BACKGROUND:

The Lake Berryessa Watercraft Decontamination Program is a vital component of the Lake Berryessa Mussel Prevention Program. To promote boater access to Lake Berryessa for watercraft that do not have valid green seals, a decontamination network has been established to increase the efficiency in which watercraft can launch into Lake Berryessa without introducing invasive golden mussels. The Project will improve watercraft decontamination infrastructure available at Lake Berryessa concessionaires and managing partner sites by purchasing and installing permanent drive-over wash racks or decontamination pads with containment, hot-water pressure washers, utility connections, and related equipment. The Project is intended to replace or supplement temporary and transitory decontamination pads with longer-lived infrastructure that improves safety, employee experience, operational efficiency, and ease of use for boaters.

The Water Agency shall be responsible for carrying out the purchase, installation, and maintenance of the Project facilities, including coordinating with the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), concessionaires, managing partners, and site operators; procuring qualified contractors, vendors, materials, and equipment as necessary; overseeing installation and commissioning; maintaining the decontamination pads and related equipment and providing invoices, status updates, and closeout documentation required by the Agreement or the applicable federal funding mechanism.

Recommended:  _____
Chris Lee, General Manager

☐	Approved as Recommended	☐	Other (see below)	☒	Continued on next page
--------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------

Modification to Recommendation and/or other actions:

I, Chris Lee, General Manager and Secretary to the Solano County Water Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing action was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by said Board of Directors at a regular meeting thereof held on October 8, 2026, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

Chris Lee
General Manager & Secretary to the
Solano County Water Agency
OCT.2026.BOD.ITM 6E

Reclamation shall be responsible for making Project funds available in accordance with the Agreement; coordinating federal review and approvals for work occurring on Reclamation-managed lands or facilities; completing or coordinating National Environmental Policy Act compliance and other federal compliance actions for which Reclamation is responsible; providing reasonable access or access approvals needed for Project implementation at Reclamation-managed facilities; reviewing and approving Project scope changes that affect federal funding eligibility; and coordinating with the Water Agency, concessionaires, managing partners, and other affected entities as necessary to support Project completion.

RELEVANCE TO 2016-2030 SCWA STRATEGIC PLAN:

This rehabilitation project supports Goal #1 (Water Supply Management), Goal #2 (Water Management Infrastructure), Goal #4 (Water Resources Resiliency), Goal #7 (Natural Resources Stewardship) of the SCWA 2016-2030 Strategic Plan.

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY



MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Chris Lee, General Manager
DATE: October 1, 2026
SUBJECT: October General Manager's Report

Water Supply Update

October 1st signifies the beginning of the new 2026-2027 water year.

With the start of the new water year, we are seeing El Niño strengthen.

As of October 1, Lake Berryessa is at elevation 428.60 feet, 87% full. From a water supply perspective, we are looking to be in good shape for several years to come.

Our State Water Project allocation remains at 60% for the year.

Short-term and seasonal precipitation forecasts are shown in the figures below.

El Niño Status

Staff continue to monitor modelling for El Niño. Even with the start of the new water year, there are still a lot of uncertainties. NOAA is estimating over a 90% chance of a very strong event and a 75% chance of a historic one, which could bring heightened risks of heavy rainfall, flooding, landslides, and coastal erosion (which has already occurred).

Warm coastal waters have already shifted some marine species northward, including sea turtles nesting in Southern California for the first time.

Strong El Niño events historically bring 150-200% of normal rainfall, especially for Southern California. We may see increased atmospheric rivers delivering multi-day storm events. However, warmer storms may reduce the Sierra Nevada snowpack, affecting water supplies. Strong El Niño cycles can still produce heavy high elevation snow. Again, there are a lot of uncertainties for Northern California at this time.






8-14 Day Precipitation Outlook

Valid: October 8 - 14, 2026


Issued: September 30, 2026



Seasonal Precipitation Outlook

Valid: Oct-Nov-Dec 2026

Issued: September 17, 2026



Bay Delta Plan¹ Update

Final comments on the Final draft Bay-Delta Plan were submitted on September 18. The SWRCB received a total of 96 comment letters, with letters from state legislative members, US Bureau of Reclamation, a wide array of water agencies and irrigation districts, electrical utilities, environmental NGOs and tribal organizations. For Solano agencies, letters were submitted by the Cities of Benicia, Fairfield, Vacaville, Vallejo, by the Suisun-Solano Water Authority, Solano Irrigation District, Reclamation District 2068, Solano County, and the Solano County Water Agency. The State Water Board will have a public hearing and consideration of adoption of the Bay-Delta Plan on October 28-29. After plan adoption and Office of Administrative Law (OAL) approval, the SWRCB will have 1 year to develop the unimpaired flow methodology and 2 years to implement regulations. Simultaneously, the HRL commitments will begin upon plan adoption.

Golden Mussel Update²

Update on activities associated with the Golden Mussels and the general Mussel Education and Outreach Program:

Statistics:

Since November 25, 2024, approximately 70,000 seals have been applied, and 55,000 seals have been removed. Over 10,000 decontaminations have been performed at Water Agency affiliated decontamination stations.

Early Detection Monitoring:

Early detection monitoring continues to be conducted on a regular frequency from the Solano Project and the State Water Project.

- Solano Project – Negative for golden mussels.
- State Water Project - Barker sand Lindsay Sloughs: Continue to show positive eDNA detections. On 8/3/26, samples collected from both Barker and Lindsay Sloughs were positive for golden mussel eDNA. No live mussels have been observed in the SWP system to date.

Solano County Water Agency Updates:

- Lots of tampered seals continue to be caught at Lake Berryessa.
- Draft Golden Mussel Response and Control Plan vulnerability assessment is in the final review stages and once complete, a Rapid Response Plan will be generated.

¹ The Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a policy document adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board that establishes water quality control measures and flow requirements needed to provide reasonable protection of the beneficial uses in the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta estuary.

² In the fall of 2024, Golden Mussels were observed in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. At Lake Berryessa, there are two options for launching: a 30-day quarantine after your boat is sealed with a red tag or going through the hot water decontamination process at Markley Cove or Steel Canyon Recreation Area. Lake Berryessa was the first reservoir to require decontamination of vessels coming from the Delta.

- Water Agency golden mussel toxicity study focusing on a prophylactic approach targeting larval golden mussels in both the Solano Project and North Bay Aqueduct has been suspended due to the quality of the veligers being collected from the Delta. Initial results are promising, however, the project has been suspended until the spring when the mussels begin spawning again and the quality and density of the veligers improves.

Regional and Other Updates:

- Adult golden mussels have now been discovered in Walnut Grove.
- Water Agency Staff have given presentations focusing on the Lake Berryessa Mussel Prevention Program at the following conferences:
 - Western Regional Panel on Aquatic Nuisance Species.
 - Restore America's Estuaries Coastal and Estuarine Summit.
- Water Agency Staff have also attended the following conferences, focusing on golden mussel programs and research:
 - Bay-Delta Science Conference.
 - California Lake Management Society.
 - Invasive Species Council of California Workshop.



Golden mussels

- Water Agency staff participated in a field trip at the Port of Stockton that was organized by San Joaquin County Supervisors Mario Gardea and Paul Canepa and hosted by California Department of Fish and Wildlife, CA State Lands Commission and CA

Division of Boating and Waterways. Over 100 participants attended including state and local politicians, members of the Western Regional Panel for ANS, farmers, urban planners and participants from a multitude of other state, federal and local agencies. The purpose of the field trip was to demonstrate the impacts that golden mussels are having on infrastructure in the region.

- Many control and eradication projects are taking place across the state and the Water Agency is involved with several working groups dedicated to collaboration and sharing information.



Flood control gates in the Stockton Deep Water Channel. The mussels are now so prolific they prevent the flood control gates from opening and now require manual cleaning by divers on a quarterly basis to function properly.

LPCCC Update

Putah Creek Watershed Network (PCWNetwork)

A new group is forming on Putah Creek! Putah Creek Watershed Network (PCWNetwork) meetings and brainstorming have been going on for about 6 months. The effort has two components: strengthening the Putah Creek network of agencies, nonprofits, and individual participants so they know one another and can collaborate more effectively; and developing a shared data system to organize historic, current, and future watershed information. Let the Streamkeeper know if you are interested in participating or want more information.

Timing of the Salmon Run: Earlier is Better

Each fall, Chinook salmon return from the ocean, swim through the Delta, and some arrive at Putah Creek to spawn. Statewide, salmon start to arrive in September, but Putah Creek salmon don't arrive until October or November. Why the delay? There are multiple reasons, but its mostly due to how the Creek is managed. The LPCCC has recognized that there may be many benefits to an earlier salmon run and is investigating ways to better match the natural timing of salmon. If salmon could come earlier, more salmon could arrive. Additionally, earlier arriving adults lay their eggs earlier, hatch earlier, and the baby salmon swim out the Delta earlier in the following spring, avoiding lethal hot water temperatures that start in the Delta around May and June. The arrival timing of the salmon is largely controlled by genetics. By delaying salmon migration into Putah Creek, we are selecting salmon that spawn later, reducing diversity in the timing of the salmon run. Diverse timing of the salmon run creates a more robust population that can respond to changes in weather and other factors. Don't put all your salmon eggs in one basket!

There are two projects in the works to address earlier salmon arrival: Fish Passage at 106a and the Los Rios Check Dam Fish Bypass projects. These two projects address physical barriers, where wooden check structures block the Creek and need to be removed earlier or somehow allow fish passage. But water quality barriers also exist during September. Low dissolved oxygen in the Toe Drain also prevents salmon from entering Putah Creek. The LPCCC and Water Agency staff are also investigating solutions for low dissolved oxygen.

In Putah Creek each year, by late October or November, the wooden boards at 106a and Los Rios Check Dam are usually removed. By then, dissolved oxygen has also improved. Although we already have a very successful salmon run on Putah Creek, this late timing means the Putah Creek salmon run has been delayed and truncated. There are opportunities for improvement. Improved salmon passage on Putah Creek is a timing issue and one thing is clear, earlier is better!


Outstanding Environmental Project Award

At the 2026 Bay-Delta Science Conference in Sacramento, the LPCCC, the Water Agency, and our partner agencies received an Outstanding Environmental Project Award for the *Putah Creek Long-Term Monitoring Program and Data Summit*. Long-term, widespread, community support for Putah Creek is part of the key to our success! Congratulations and thank you for the decades of hard work.

Lower Putah Creek Coordinating Committee (LPCCC) Monthly Meetings

The LPCCC meets publicly each month, a few hours before the regular Water Agency Board meetings. Agendas can be found on the Water Agency website <https://scwa2.com/lower-putah-creek-coordinating-committee/lpccc-agendas/> You can also ask the Streamkeeper to be put on the email list at mstevenson@scwa2.com. The LPCCC is a forum for all things Putah Creek.

Northern Sierra Precipitation: 8-Station Index, October 01, 2026






CURRENT RESERVOIR CONDITIONS

CALIFORNIA MAJOR WATER SUPPLY RESERVOIRS


Midnight - September 30, 2026

CURRENT CONDITIONS




Updated 10/01/2026 11:18 AM

Annual Lake Berryessa Inflow



Annual Lake Berryessa Inflow



**REPORT OF CONSTRUCTION CHANGE ORDERS AND
CONTRACTS APPROVED BY GENERAL MANAGER UNDER
DELEGATED AUTHORITY**

Construction Contract Change Orders (15% of original project costs or \$220,000, whichever is less) – None.

Construction Contracts (\$220,000 and less) –

- JM Environmental, Inc. – 2026 Canal Panel Repairs - \$74,827

Professional Service Agreements (\$100,000 and less) –

- Innovasea Marine Systems Canada Inc. – HydroAI Fish Counting Trial in Putah Creek - \$10,000
- Verdantas Inc. – Putah South Canal Hydraulic Modeling Assistance - \$20,000
- Washburn Ag – Nuisance Vegetation Management - \$74,932

Non-Professional Service Agreements (\$100,000 and less) – None.

Note: Cumulative change orders or amendments resulting in exceeding the dollar limit need Board approval.

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY



September 18, 2026

Via Email (SacDeltaComments@waterboards.ca.gov)

State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights | Attn: Bay-Delta & Hearings Branch
P.O. Box 100
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

Solano Regional Comment Letter on Final Draft Bay-Delta Plan Update

Dear Chair Esquivel and Members of the Board,

The Solano community respectfully submits these comments on the Final draft Bay-Delta Plan¹ and supporting documentation including the Final Staff Report, Final Scientific Basis Report Supplement, and other supporting documents provided by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) as part of the August 19, 2026, public review process. The purpose of this letter is to provide the SWRCB comments on behalf of the municipal and agricultural communities in Solano County consistent with our prior comment letters provided to the SWRCB in 2016, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026 (February), and 2026 (September). Our Solano agencies continue to be strongly committed to the Healthy Rivers & Landscape (HRL) program as part of a wholistic approach for our Solano Project (Putah Creek) and North Bay Aqueduct (Feather River) water supplies with our regional partner agencies in Lake, Napa, Yolo, and Solano Counties. The HRL approach mirrors, on a larger scale, the success that has been observed on Putah Creek for the last 25 years with the recovery and increase in native fish species, while simultaneously balancing municipal and agricultural water supplies.

However, the Solano region continues to have broad-level concerns on several issues, some of the most significant include the Regulatory Pathway of Unimpaired Flow, Cold Water Temperature Requirements, Groundwater Impacts, Finer Resolution to understand Solano's Water Supply Impacts, and others which are discussed further below. In various responses to comments and text of various documents accompanying the August 2026 Draft, the State Board staff has not adequately addressed the various comments we previously provided and/or mischaracterized the impacts of implementation of the Unimpaired Flow approach on our communities. The Solano County Water Agency (SCWA or Water Agency), on behalf of our member agencies, have provided detailed comments on each of the most significant items above. Should you have any further questions, please let me know by e-mail ARabidoux@scwa2.com or by phone (707) 455-1106.

Sincerely,

Alexander A. Rabidoux, PE
Assistant General Manager

¹ SCWA and its member agencies join in and hereby incorporate by reference the separate written comments on the Draft Staff Report submitted to the State Water Board by the State Water Contractors, Sites Project Authority, Solano County, City of Vacaville, City of Vallejo, City of Fairfield, NCFC&WCD, NDWA, ACWA, SID, SSWA, NCWA, CCWD, PCWA, EBMUD, YCFC&WCD and YCWA.



Cold Water Application to Lake Berryessa

Section H1a1.8 (page H1a1-34) provides a detailed discussion of the existing Solano Project facilities including Lake Berryessa, Monticello Dam, Lake Solano, and the Putah Diversion Dam (PDD). However, the section only lightly touches on the existing fish passage barrier at the Los Rios Check Dam (LRCD). Below the PDD, there are 3 important structures that can impede or partially impede fish passage which include Lisbon Weir (in the Toe Drain of the Yolo Bypass), LRCD, and the Road 106A crossing. Two of the three crossings are owned by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), the other by Los Rios Farms. Removal of the check boards at the LRCD is heavily influenced by CDFW Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area (YBWA) operations and historically occurred in late November to early December. The annual fall pulse flow per the Putah Creek Accord is conducted once the check boards of the LRCD are removed. Table 1 below shows the timing of the pulse flows over the last 10 years. Collaborative efforts between CDFW, SCWA, and UC Davis scientists have allowed for earlier removal of the boards, often beginning in late October.

Table 1 – Start of the Fall Pulse Flow on Lower Putah Creek

Year	PDD Release	Arrival at LRCD
2017	11/4/2017	11/6/2017
2018	10/30/2018	11/1/2018
2019	11/19/2019	11/21/2019
2020	11/10/2020	11/12/2020
2021	11/03/2021	11/05/2021
2022	10/22/2022	10/24/2022
2023	10/19/2023	10/21/2023
2024*	11/03/2024	11/05/2024
2025	10/24/2025	10/26/2025
2026**	10/24/2026	10/26/2026

- The Pulse Flow release is shown in the USBR Reservoir Reports for Lake Solano as an increase in Putah Creek releases for 5-6 days during the late October to early December time period.
- During the fall, the travel time from the PDD to the LRCD is 2-days
- 2024 The boards were removed for 2 weeks in mid Oct then reinstalled to meet CDFW YBWA needs.
- 2026 The expected data that has been coordinated with CDFW.

In Section H1a1.8.2 Other Studies, the SWRCB references a Jones & Stokes (1996) study that developed a temperature model for Putah Creek below the PDD, and as part of the study deployed temperature recorders in the creek during the summers of 1993 and 1994, with the average daily water temperature during June-September 1993 (53.6-55.4 °F) and June-September 1994 (55.4-57.2 °F). This time period represents one of the lowest Lake Berryessa storage levels on record, where corresponding water temperature measurements exist at the PDD. During this period, Lake Berryessa storage levels ranged from 570-905 TAF and continued to meet or very closely meet the cold-water targets recommended by the SWRCB.

Additionally, as shown in Table 1 above, fall run Chinook Salmon cannot enter Lower Putah Creek until late October to early November. This is dictated by the CDFW Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area (YBWA) operations. In the Bay-Delta Plan, the SWRCB continuously refers to Fish & Game Code § 5937 to “ensure fish below dams are kept in good condition” yet the SWRCB has not factored in the LRCD and

YBWA operations into Lower Putah Creek. Additionally, in Section H1a1.8.4 Data Evaluation, the SWRCB is inconsistent in looking at all the data sets and setting reasonable cold-water requirements, that balance municipal and agricultural water supply needs. Furthermore, the Jones & Stokes data set appears to be the most robust for the period of lowest storage on Lake Berryessa, closely meeting the cold-water targets at storage levels down to 570-TAF.

In reviewing archive records from SCWA, the Water Agency has water temperature data for Putah Creek at the Putah Diversion Dam for the period of Jul-1992 to Oct-1992, Mar-1993 to Jul-1993, and Apr-1994 to Oct-1994. During this same time period, Lake Berryessa Storage ranged from approximately 540-430 TAF, 900-840 TAF, and 800-550 TAF respectively. The 1992 and 1994 time periods reflect some of the lowest Lake Berryessa storage levels on record. Figures 1-3 show the Putah Creek water temperature at the PDD as well as Lake Berryessa storage levels. In reviewing the 3 data sets, the Water Agency believes the 700 TAF and 1,000 TAF cold water targets proposed by the SWRCB continue to be overly conservative to the detriment of agricultural and municipal water supplies under the regulatory pathway. The original text file documents will be included in the electronic submission.

Lastly, it is important for the SWRCB to recognize that there is no cold water or even flowing water in upper Putah Creek above Lake Berryessa for October in most years. Table 2 below shows the average inflow into Lake Berryessa for the period of 2017-2025. The only exception being the rare October 2021 storm event which dropped over 7-inches of rain at the PDD. Unlike the Sierra watersheds, Putah Creek is an intermittent, low elevation, interior coast range watershed.

Table 2 – Lake Berryessa Inflow for the Month of October

Year	Lake Berryessa Inflow (AF)
2017	0
2018	0
2019	0
2020	0
2021	45,167
2022	0
2023	0
2024	0
2025	0

- Data is available from the monthly USBR Reservoir Reports for Lake Berryessa.

Proposed Solution: Remove cold water requirements for both Putah and Cache Creek, as both watersheds are intermittent low elevation streams in the interior coast range. Alternatively, the SWRCB should update the temperature and storage analysis with data from mid to late October and November, reflecting existing operational conditions on Lower Putah Creek. Where possible, actual PDD temperature data should be used. If Lake Berryessa 70-foot depth temperature data is used, “turn-over” data should be filtered, as this likely does not represent what is happening at the outlet of Monticello Dam. Even during the lowest period of storage (1992), Lake Berryessa had a depth of over 115 feet. Furthermore, based upon the prior USGS data and Jones & Stokes data, as well as the fact that salmon cannot move upstream until mid to late October at the earliest based upon CDFW YBWA operations, a

cold-water protection limit of 500-TAF would make more sense, and provide a more appropriate balance to municipal and agricultural water supplies.

Ground Water – Clarification, Impacts, Cumulative Impacts

The Water Agency appreciates the SWRCB's clarification on groundwater (Responses 221-13 and 1129-3). However, the Common Response 7.12.2 does not adequately explain why there are significant reductions between the 35-75% UIF scenarios for the American River (Figure A1-249), Butte (Figure A1-250), Colusa (Figure A1-251), Consumnes (Figure A1-252), Eastern San Joaquin (Figure A1-253), Suisun (Figure A1-254), Red Bluff (Figure A1-255), Redding (Figure A1-256), Sutter (Figure A1-257), and Yolo-Solano (Figure A1-258) Groundwater Basins. Each of the figures referenced above show a significant decrease in Groundwater Storage, more specifically increasing negative values over the 100-year period shown. Common Response 7.12.2 (page 13) tries to explain away these significant decreases by saying "groundwater inflows from the east (or from the foothills and mountains) may partially or fully offset this estimated storage decline." However, there is no data or modeling output provided in Appendix A1 to validate the SWRCB's conclusion.

Additionally, the SWRCB's response to 1129-3 does not adequately address the fact that the SacWAM simulations assume no change in groundwater pumping for each of the scenarios. Chapter 7.12.2 Groundwater, Table 7.12.2-9 attempts to show SWAP Model Results for Increased Agricultural Groundwater Use Compared with Baseline Conditions for the Sacramento River Watershed, with values ranging from 125-878 TAF (Average water year) and 254-943 TAF (Dry water year). However, the values are not broken down by Groundwater Basin. There are also no values shown for the Bay Area region, which for Solano County would include the Suisun Groundwater Basin. Furthermore, the values as illustrated by the SWAP model appear significantly smaller than what would be expected. For example, in the Solano Subbasin for Water Year 2025 the total water use was 710-TAF, with 520-TAF (73%) provided by surface water from the Sacramento River watershed and 190-TAF (27%) by groundwater pumping (Source: Solano Subbasin, Groundwater Sustainability Annual Report – Water Year 2025). Even small reductions in surface water supplies to the Solano Subbasin would lead to significant increases in groundwater pumping levels, that could reach the SWAP model estimates for the entire Sacramento Valley. Furthermore, neither Chapter 7.12.2, Appendix A1, Appendix H1a2, nor Appendix H1a3 appropriately quantifies the groundwater impacts that would be expected to occur from reductions in surface water supplies associated with the Unimpaired Flow regulatory pathway in Solano County, and specifically to the Solano and Suisun Subbasins.

Proposed Solution: The SWRCB should do a more comprehensive analysis of groundwater impacts, to fully understand the potential impacts to each groundwater basin. The SWRCB should consult with each groundwater basin to determine what type of changes would be expected from reduced surface water supplies.

Water Supply Impacts to Solano County (Municipal and Agricultural)

In our February 2026 comment letter to the SWRCB, the Water Agency noted that it was very challenging to reasonably understand the municipal and agricultural impacts to our member agencies

when (a) Solano County is divided into 3 sections (Sacramento River Watershed, Legal Delta, and the Bay Area), (b) for Water Budget Areas 20 and 25, impacts are grouped with Yolo County, (c) in other scenarios Water Supply impacts are grouped with the five Delta Counties, and (d) in other scenarios western portions of Solano were lumped into the nine county Bay Area. While not discussed in the February 2026 comment letter, it is important to note that even for groundwater impacts, the Yolo and Solano Subbasins have been combined into one aggregated unit. The SWRCB's overall response (1129-13) is "Agencies whose water supplies stretch across boundaries defined in the staff report may need to consider factors in multiple areas to fully understand impacts relevant to their interests." The response from the SWRCB is unsatisfactory, because it does not resolve the fundamental issue that the Solano County region cannot reasonably foresee, estimate, or infer what the municipal and agricultural water supply impacts will be.

Yet the SacWAM model appears to have the capability to show individual results within Solano County. For example, in the SacWAM Model Version 2026.05.29 Documentation, Table 4-2 shows model nodes for North Delta Water Agency, University of California at Davis, Solano Irrigation District (SID), Maine Prairie Water District, and SID (external to WBA domain). Table 4-3 shows model nodes for the Solano urban communities of Rio Vista, Dixon, Vacaville, Fairfield, Suisun, Travis Air Force Base, Vallejo, and California State Prison - Solano. Unfortunately, none of the model results presented in Chapter 7.12, Appendix A1, Appendix H1a2, or Appendix H1a3 provide or summarize the Solano specific agricultural and municipal nodes, that would allow Solano agencies to make reasonably informed decisions on the Bay-Delta Plan.

Proposed Solution: The SWRCB should provide additional appendices that show water supply impacts on a meaningful scale to impacted agencies. This could be done at a county level, wholesale level (i.e. SCWA, EBMUD, Valley Water, Zone 7), Groundwater Subbasin level, or as reasonably requested. For Solano, the nodes of each of our member agencies appear to already exist within the SacWAM model, such that this would be a small and reasonable level of effort by the SWRCB as part of the Substitute Environmental Document (SED) process.

Regulatory Pathway for Putah Creek

In our February 2026 comment letter to the SWRCB, the Water Agency outlined a variety of concerns associated with the regulatory pathway, specifically with unimpaired flows and cold water requirements. One of the foundational arguments was that Putah and Cache Creek were intermittent, low elevation, rainfall driven streams, that historically did not provide year-round cold water habitat or support salmon except during wet years (1129-8, 1129-10). Furthermore, the Water Agency recommended incorporating the Putah Creek adjudication and Condition 12 Settlement Agreement, as it meets the core objectives of a Water Quality Control Plan (1129-11). The Water Agency also proposed Modifications to the Tributary Specific Water Supply Adjustments based on Hec-Ras modeling, updated bathymetry, and spawning and rearing criteria as outlined in the Bay-Delta Plan (1129-14). Lastly, the Water Agency discussed the limited influence that Putah and Cache Creeks would have on the Yolo Bypass and Bay-Delta Hydrodynamics (1129-21, 1129-22).

For one of the comments, the SWRCB provided a reference to Common Response 1.1. For Common Response 1.1, Existing Efforts and Agreements (page 33), the SWRCB lists a variety of agreements

including the Putah Creek Accord and states, “These agreements were often the result of previous litigation, ESA or CESA processes, or other regulatory processes that are focused on a single or few species. The State Water Board is not a party to any of these third-party agreements and is not bound to the terms of these agreements.” For the Putah Creek Accord, the SWRCB’s comments are disingenuous as the Solano Project water rights were updated by the SWRCB to reflect the settlement terms, of what is now referred to as the Putah Creek Accord. Furthermore, one of the principal legal arguments of the Putah Creek litigation was Fish & Game Code § 5937 which is one of the primary legal points the SWRCB makes for updating the Bay-Delta Plan. Furthermore, the Putah Creek Accord was not seeking to protect one or a few species, it was designed to improve overall native fish populations along Lower Putah Creek.

In several of the other comments, the SWRCB referred to Common Response 1.3. In Common Response 1.3 (page 15), the SWRCB references several specific studies along Putah Creek including (Jacinto et al. 2023) and (Baruch et al. 2024) that showed the overall success of the Putah Creek Accord with reductions in non-native fish species. While the SWRCB references the success of the Putah Creek Accord, it does not give any deference to using the existing flow regimes of the Accord as suggested by SCWA. Furthermore as part of Common Response 1.3, Tributary-Specific Analysis (page 24), the SWRCB provides the following response:

“A number of comments requested more information on individual tributaries and requested this information be used to produce tailored flow requirements for each tributary. Some commenters expressed that Chapter 3, *Scientific Knowledge to Inform Fish and Wildlife Flow Recommendations*, did not contain enough specific tributary information on benefits or effects of flow to support the proposed unimpaired flow requirements. The scientific information on the tributaries in the Staff Report is sufficient for the purposes of the Staff Report. The required degree of specificity in an environmental document corresponds to the degree of specificity involved in the underlying activity being analyzed.”

The challenge with Common Response 1.1 and 1.3 is the SWRCB selectively chooses pieces of the Putah Creek Accord and corresponding references but fails to recognize the SWRCB’s own role in updating the Solano Project water rights, the basis and purpose of the Putah Creek litigation, and the importance of tributary specific solutions, such as the Putah Creek Accord. Additionally, in the SWRCB’s response to comments (1129-14), the SWRCB states “Increased flow has additional benefits beyond the creation of habitat, so a flow requirement based solely on metrics of habitat acreage would not fully capture the flow at which benefits are optimized.” This is a circular argument that does not clearly define or illustrate why additional flow is needed. The SWRCB provides a similar undefined response to comment 1129-21, “In contrast, flows from Putah and Cache Creeks generally do not result in Yolo Bypass inundation, except during rare, exceptionally high flow events in Cache Creek. Although we do not identify a set threshold for Putah Creek from the literature analyzed in the Staff Report, any increase in inundation can be beneficial.” Similar to above, this is a circular argument to just release more water, but with no studies or justification that balances the corresponding loss of municipal and agricultural water supplies.

Potential Solution: As has been suggested several times, the Putah and Cache Creek watersheds should be removed from the unimpaired flow and cold water requirements, based on the foundation principal of both creeks being intermittent, low elevation, interior coast range watersheds.

Impact to Population and Housing

In Chapter 7.16 Population and Housing, the SWRCB indicates that there are no impacts associated with the Project. Furthermore, in Section 7.16.3 Impact Analysis, the SWRCB indicates “Reduced municipal supply would not result in the substantial inducement, directly or indirectly, of population growth.”

However, in California there are several good examples of communities with limited water supplies which have impacted population growth. Examples include the communities of Bolinas, Yountville, San Simeon, Pacific Grove, Seaside, Morrow Bay, and others that have had building moratoriums and/or severe limitations restricting population growth. While the Bay-Delta Plan does not specifically look at individual cities and communities, this is likely to be a significant impact and should not be so readily dismissed by the SWRCB.

Potential Solution: The SWRCB should look at population trends and impacts to communities that have faced significant water supply impacts. A corresponding analysis should be done on any communities that could be significantly impacted by the Bay-Delta Plan.

Voluntary Agreements – Addition of New Parties

In our February 2026 comment letter, we indicated that the pathway for new parties to join the Voluntary Agreements seemed unclear (1129-17). The SWRCB provided a reference to Common Response 1.3. In Common Response 1.3, New HRL Proposals and Water Rights (page 39), the SWRCB provided no additional clarity, and furthermore new HRL parties would need to fit within the unimpaired flow range and regulatory pathway. This is counterproductive to the purpose of the HRL pathway, to provide a more balanced and holistic approach.

“After Plan adoption, water right holders may propose local cooperative solutions to provide complementary ecosystem protection measures such as habitat restoration, passage, improvements in cold water management structures, or other measures to provide comparable reasonable protection for fish and wildlife at lower water supply costs. Local cooperative solutions, however, would need to fit within the unimpaired flow range and comply with the regulatory pathway provisions. The full list of requirements for Local Cooperative Solutions is described above and in Section 4.4.2.4 of the revised proposed Plan amendments. If water right holders are interested in proposing voluntary measures that would not fit within the requirements for a local cooperative solution, they could propose HRL commitments for inclusion in the HRL pathway after Plan adoption.”

Potential Solution: A clear pathway should be developed to allow for the onboarding of new HRL participants.

Mitigation Measures


In Appendix H3, several of the Mitigation Measures applied to a multitude of impacts. The most relevant mitigation measures to Solano County are shown below. Many of the Mitigation Measures are


already being done in Solano County, while others have been studied but are not economically feasible. Below is a summary of the most relevant Mitigation Measures to Solano County.


- **Diversify Water Portfolios:** Many of the municipalities in Solano County already have a diverse water portfolio, ranging from 2-4 different water supplies. For example, the City of Vacaville can access groundwater, State Water Project – Table A, State Water Project – Settlement, and Solano Project water. Vacaville, Fairfield, Benicia, and Vallejo all have a diverse water portfolio. Smaller municipalities such as Rio Vista, Dixon, and Suisun City do not have the financial resources to access other water supplies or have not reached a population size to justify the costs of surface water treatment.
- **Groundwater Storage & Recovery:** In Solano County only the northeast portion of the county along the Putah Creek alluvial fan could support some level of groundwater storage and recovery. Unfortunately, there is no infrastructure to convey Delta water to this portion of the County. The North Bay Aqueduct Alternate Intake Project (NBA AIP) is a potential project that would place a new intake on the Sacramento River and corresponding pipeline to significantly improve municipal water quality for Napa and Solano County while also reducing ESA impacts in the Cache Slough Complex. The alignment could be created to be near the Putah Creek Alluvial Fan. Unfortunately, the project has an anticipated cost of over \$700 million which is currently not economically feasible for Napa and Solano Counties.
- **Water Recycling:** Water from the City of Vacaville’s Easterly Treatment Plant is already fully utilized during the irrigation season by downstream agricultural users. In the future, Vacaville may have additional customers for this water as well. Wastewater from Vallejo, Benicia, and Fairfield-Suisun is not currently economically feasible for use within each city or for agricultural purposes in eastern Solano County.
- **Water Conservation:** SCWA operates a robust regional water conservation program throughout Solano County including a turf rebate program, high efficiency washer rebate program, high efficiency toilet rebate program, and irrigated controller rebate program. Additionally, SCWA partners with local vendors for school auditorium programs as well as with the Solano Resource Conservation District on education programs on water conservation in Solano County schools.
- **Increase Water Use Efficiency:** Agricultural agencies such as the Solano Irrigation District already have a combination of concrete lined canals and pipelines to move water to their customers. Other agencies such as Reclamation District 2068 and Maine Prairie Water District operate tailwater recovery dams and pumps, such that water is often used 2-3 times, with very little tailwater leaving each District.
- **Reduce Impacts on Groundwater:** The Solano Subbasin is a medium priority basin, fully compliant with SGMA. The regulatory pathway of the Bay-Delta Plan would place significant stress on the groundwater basin.
- **Promote the Use of Renewable Energy:** Many of the Solano agencies already have solar arrays installed at their water, wastewater, and other public facilities. New installations are more economically challenging and often not feasible due to changes in NEM 3 regulations.
- **Implement SGMA:** As discussed above, the Solano Subbasin is fully compliant with SGMA. However, the regulatory pathway of the Bay-Delta Plan would place significant stress on the groundwater basin.
- **SGMA Oversight:** Several local GSAs already exist and are in close coordination, to ensure long term reliability of the Solano Subbasin.

Covered Water Rights for the Putah Creek HRL

Consistent with the Water Agency's Putah Creek Water Management program as well as in coordination with the Northern California Water Association (NCWA) concurrent comment letter, the Putah Creek HRL should be protective of all of the water rights listed in the Putah Creek watershed. The prior list of covered water rights did not include water rights within the Interdam Reach or Lower Reach of Putah Creek (from Monticello Dam downstream to the Yolo Bypass). After the Putah Creek Accord, the Water Agency has established a history of working extensively with public and private entities in Lake, Napa, Yolo, and Solano Counties and will want to continue that effort as part of the Putah Creek HRL.









IN REPLY REFER TO:

United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION
2800 Cottage Way
Sacramento, CA 95825-1898



CGB-100
1.3.11

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL ONLY

State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Rights
Attn: Bay-Delta & Hearing Branch
P.O. Box 100
Sacramento, California 95812-0100

Subject: August 2026 Draft Water Quality Control Plan—Reclamation's Concerns as to the Solano Project.

Dear Chair Esquivel:

The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) writes to express its concern with the State Water Resources Control Board (Board) August 2026 Draft Water Quality Control Plan (Draft Plan) for the Bay-Delta as it relates to the Solano Project, a project authorized under the federal Reclamation laws. Reclamation's letter to the Board, dated September 4, 2026, focused on the Draft Plan as it relates to the Central Valley Project (CVP). This letter is to confirm that Reclamation is concerned about all of its facilities, including non-CVP facilities, impacted by the Draft Plan like the Solano Project.

The United States built the Solano Project to provide reliable water supplies to Solano County farms, homes, and federal military installations such as Travis Air Force Base. Solano Project water deliveries have sustained Solano County communities and agriculture for nearly seventy-five (75) years. As drafted, the Draft Plan threatens the water supply of farms and communities throughout Solano County, including the cities of Fairfield, Suisun, Vacaville, Benicia, and Vallejo.

The Draft Plan would directly regulate Lake Berryessa, a United States owned facility and reservoir, by imposing unimpaired-flow and carryover-storage targets. Those requirements would limit use of substantial portions of stored water at Lake Berryessa and undermine reliable water supplies for Solano County farms and cities, including communities that provide housing for thousands of military personnel. The Plan would also subject Lake Berryessa operations to the Board's Executive Director approval of temperature-management strategies and annual operating plans. State law cannot subordinate federal project purposes to the approval, directives, or preferences of the Board or its Executive Director. The Draft Plan is inconsistent with and frustrates federal directives for the Solano Project which include providing a reliable, sustainable water supply for Solano County homes, farms, cities, and military installations.

Reclamation stands ready to support pathways for the reasonable protection of beneficial uses that protect and enhance water supply reliability at all of its facilities impacted by the Draft Plan, but as stated by

INTERIOR REGION 10 • CALIFORNIA-GREAT BASIN

CALIFORNIA*, NEVADA*, OREGON*

* PARTIAL

Acting Commissioner Bettencourt, we cannot support a plan that disregards federal law, negotiated certainty, and sound judgment. Reclamation continues to urge the Board to restore the foundational elements of the 2022 Memorandum of Agreement and Term Sheet.

Sincerely

**ADAM
NICKELS**

Digitally signed
by ADAM NICKELS
Date: 2026.09.18
16:34:47 -07'00'

Adam Nickels
Regional Director - Acting

NEWS ARTICLES

EARTH SCIENCE

El Niño's Dreaded 'Kelvin Wave' Is About to Hit California

This signature of El Niño could raise sea levels by up to a foot.

BY ELLYN LAPOINTE

PUBLISHED SEPTEMBER 29, 2026, 4:25 PM ET

READING TIME 2 MINUTES



READ LATER



COMMENTS (16)



Large waves crash into a seawall protecting beachfront homes in Long Beach, California, on September 28, 2026. © Mario Tama/Getty Images

Southern California communities such as Malibu, Long Beach, and San Clemente are watching their beaches disappear. In recent years, rising sea levels have swallowed huge chunks of the coastline, threatening waterfront homes. Now, an El Niño-driven phenomenon is expected to make this situation even worse.

During El Niño, winds that normally blow east to west along the equator weaken and periodically reverse, creating westerly wind bursts that set off oceanic Kelvin waves. These massive, slow-moving pulses of warm water travel from the western Pacific Ocean east across the equator until they reach Central and South America, at which point they travel north as “coastal trapped waves” along North America’s Pacific coast.

That’s exactly what’s happening now. Meteorologists warn that the approaching warm water could reach southern California by early October and raise sea levels by up to a foot (30 centimeters).

“Tides are already running a foot or more above average thanks to the extreme marine heatwave, and additional sea level elevation may begin soon as the largest coastally trapped Kelvin wave of the El Niño-generated sequence thus far arrives in October,” Daniel Swain, a climate scientist at the University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources, wrote in a blog post on Sunday.

From bad to worse

Ocean waters off the coast of southern California are already warming as a direct result of climate change. The world’s oceans have absorbed more than 90% of the excess heat trapped in the Earth system due to human-driven warming. This has led to higher baseline ocean temperatures and increased the frequency and severity of marine heatwaves, like the one currently affecting waters off the coast of central and southern California.

Warm water expands, so elevated marine temperatures also elevate sea levels. This phenomenon, called “thermal expansion,” has been exacerbating coastal

inundation and erosion in California for years. Warming Pacific waters are also pumping more energy and moisture into storms, as [illustrated](#) by high-impact rainfall and storm surge events that have flooded parts of coastal California in recent years.

Groundwater pumping has also [led to significant areas of land subsidence](#), or ground sinking, along the California coast. At the same time, climate change is rapidly melting land ice in Earth's polar regions, [boosting](#) sea levels on a global scale.

This El Niño-spurred Kelvin wave will greatly exacerbate the human-driven coastal hazards California is already experiencing. Again, elevated ocean temperatures elevate sea levels, so climate scientists expect this surge of warm water to rapidly and drastically increase the risk of coastal flooding and erosion.

Unlike climate change, the Kelvin wave will only influence California's sea levels temporarily. However, El Niño tends to produce more than one Kelvin wave, helping keep sea levels elevated for months. Dillon Amaya, an assistant professor of marine science at North Carolina State University, [told ABC7 San Francisco](#) he doesn't expect sea levels in the Bay Area to return to normal until spring 2027.

In August, experts warned that [California could see its highest sea levels on record](#) this fall and winter. Governor Gavin Newsom has [declared](#) a state of emergency to bolster preparedness against flooding, landslides, and coastal risks. Long after El Niño subsides, climate change and land subsidence will continue to eat away at California's coastline, so hopefully these preparedness measures will be designed for longevity.

EXPLORE MORE ON THESE TOPICS

[CALIFORNIA](#)[CLIMATE SCIENCE](#)[EL NIÑO](#)[SEA LEVEL RISE](#)

SHARE THIS STORY



WATER ADVISORY COMMISSION UPDATES

SOLANO WATER ADVISORY COMMISSION (SWAC)

**Meeting Minutes
September 23, 2026**

Present:

Agency	Members
SCWA	Chris Lee, Alex Rabidoux, Deborah Barr, Jay Cuetara (online), Tuyet Hoang
Benicia	
Dixon	
Fairfield	Michael Hether
Rio Vista	
Vacaville	Tim Hawkins
Vallejo	Melissa Cansdale, Jeff Barich (online)
Solano County	Austin Miller
RD 2068	
SID	Paul Fuchslin, Cary Keaten
Dixon RCD	
FSSD	Jordan Damerel (online)
MPWD	Chris Holdener
UC Davis	Joel McCoy
Other	

The meeting was called to order at 12:30 PM.

1. Bylaws

Paul Fuchslin (SID) reported that the committee continued working on the bylaws. He noted that, following the prior meeting, an ad hoc group discussion was held with some Solano Project members regarding the Bay-Delta Plan and its impact on member units. To make SWAC meetings more productive, Paul suggested continuing to use the regular agenda primarily for informational updates and reserving time at the end of meetings for smaller breakout discussions on more focused topics.

2. Bay-Delta Plan

Alex Rabidoux (Water Agency) reported that the comment deadline for the revised Bay-Delta Plan passed on the preceding Friday and that many member agencies submitted comments. He explained that some State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) responses stated that agencies misinterpreted aspects of the plan to which agencies responded by clarifying their positions and explaining the basis for their comments. Alex noted that the upcoming hearings were expected to lead to adoption of the plan.

Alex discussed concerns regarding the plan's treatment of Fall flows and the State Water Board's role in approving or modifying requirements, even where other agencies may have reached agreement. He suggested that member agencies consider refreshing their respective governing boards and councils on the Bay-Delta Plan and the potential effects on Solano County. He noted the importance of explaining why the region is concerned, including potential implications for residents, agricultural growers, and local water supplies.

Michael Hether (Fairfield) supported renewed briefings for local elected officials, noting that previous discussions occurred approximately two years ago and may no longer be familiar to current councilmembers. Michael suggested considering a professional public outreach effort to explain the issue and its local significance.

Paul Fuchslin (SID) asked whether the Water Agency would retain a public relations firm for this effort. Chris Lee (Water Agency) said the approach and timing required further consideration to ensure effective communication.

Cary Keaten (SID) asked who the outreach would be intended to reach. Chris responded that the effort could include both stakeholders who have not previously been engaged and members of the public that aren't aware where their water comes from. He also discussed responding to public messaging from outside organizations.

Michael suggested convening a workshop during the initial information-gathering process for public relations to capture wholesale, retail, and agricultural perspectives. He also raised the possibility of a joint opinion piece or other proactive communication. Chris supported a coordinated approach and referenced the possibility of a coalition letter demonstrating the breadth of support for Healthy Rivers and Landscapes and opposition to unimpaired flows.

Cary distinguished between a possible opinion piece that could be prepared before the hearings and a more comprehensive educational effort for Solano County residents, which could include a video or other media and would likely require professional assistance. Alex indicated that more information on a potential outreach effort would be brought back to the next SWAC meeting.

3. Water Accounting, Transfers, Exchanges

Alex Rabidoux (Water Agency) reported that the water transfer agreements were signed and that the transfers were expected to proceed over the coming months. Staff will work to avoid the delays experienced with the previous transfer. Alex stated that the Water Agency contacted the Westside districts regarding additional water purchases, but they were not interested in taking more water at this time.

Chris Lee (Water Agency) added that the Water Agency would continue working with its partners because the San Luis Reservoir was likely to spill based on current conditions. The Water Agency will continue to determine whether partners are interested in taking additional water. Michael Hether (Fairfield) suggested that agencies with State Water Project water consider obtaining approvals in advance so that paperwork would be ready if an opportunity arose. Deborah Barr (Water Agency) noted that the Westside districts previously expressed interest in a potential ongoing agreement for three to five years, and that the parties could explore arrangements that would make future transfers easier.

4. Solano Project CIP

Chris Lee (Water Agency) reported that the Solano Project has aging infrastructure and that the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) identifies substantial work needed to maintain the project. He noted that SID's maintenance efforts and the Water Agency's capital projects have helped the system continue operating, but limited funding has constrained the pace of improvements. Staff

have had to use temporary repairs in some cases and redirect personnel from other projects. Additional engineering support may be needed to manage the workload.

Chris proposed forming a subcommittee of Solano Project member agencies to evaluate potential funding alternatives and develop a recommendation for the Water Agency Board. Potential options include resuming payments for water, pursuing Proposition 2 or Proposition 18 funding, or issuing debt through a bond. Chris acknowledged that some options may be difficult for certain agencies and said the group would work together over the next several months to identify a funding approach. Deborah Barr (Water Agency) will be giving a CIP update presentation to the Water Agency Board and the need for additional funding will be discussed, with a recommendation anticipated for consideration in the coming months, potentially early in 2027.

Deborah reported that approximately \$4 million per year is needed to maintain the current CIP. This amount includes plans and assessments but does not include repairs that may be identified through those assessments. She also noted that the CIP includes large projects for which the Water Agency is seeking state or federal assistance, including an approximately \$24 million seismic undergrounding project from Mangels Siphon to Terminal Check.

Deborah stated that the Water Agency's CIP averages approximately six projects per year, and the current identified improvements total approximately \$43 million, including plans and assessments. She emphasized that costs may change as assessments are completed.

Michael Hether (Fairfield) expressed interest in serving on the subcommittee. Cary Keaten (SID) acknowledged the need to maintain the infrastructure and supported exploring a committee approach, including whether member agencies could manage certain projects and reduce consultant costs. Cary expressed concern about issuing debt if the regulatory pathway could result in years without water deliveries, since agencies may have difficulty making debt-service payments without water-sale revenue. Chris said the subcommittee would help evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of different funding mechanisms based on member agencies' experience. Alex Rabidoux (Water Agency) noted that the Solano Project is funded through the Water Agency's general fund, which also supports other agency programs, and that this should be considered in discussions with the Water Agency Board and member agencies.

5. NBA AOO Update

Chris Lee (Water Agency) reported that the Water Agency is considering forming a subcommittee to discuss the Area of Origin matter. He explained that the issue concerns a settlement with the Department of Water Resources (DWR) related to the additional increment of State Water Project water available to agencies north of the Delta. The Water Agency began using the increment around 2017, when the State interpreted the settlement differently than the Water Agency believed it should be interpreted, leading to litigation.

He proposed meeting with the State Water Project member agencies to discuss whether the current status was sufficient or whether they should recommend that the Water Agency Board proceed toward trial. A discussion could occur immediately after the SWAC meeting or at a separate committee meeting, coordinated with the Solano Project subcommittee meeting in November.

6. Water Agency General Manager's Report

- a. Water Agency Board Items: Chris Lee (Water Agency) reported that it is likely the Bay-Delta Plan and NBA Area of Origin items will be discussed for the next couple of Board meetings.
- b. North Bay Aqueduct: Deborah Barr (Water Agency) attended the State Water Contractors meetings. She noted Barker Slough pumps are anticipated to be completed this month while the regional intertie project feasibility analysis is on schedule to be completed at the end of the calendar year.
- c. Solano Project: No additional updates reported beyond prior discussion.
- d. Bay Delta Planning Issues: No additional updates reported beyond prior discussion.
- e. Flood Management Issues: Deborah Barr (Water Agency) reported that she and Alex Rabidoux (Water Agency) are reviewing a technical memorandum prepared by West Yost concerning Task 6 of the Dixon Watershed work and hope to finalize it before the next SWAC meeting. Deborah said she would follow up with Dixon regarding the status.

Deborah also reported that the Water Agency is preparing several flood-related repairs ahead of the flood season including washout repairs along Sweeney Creek. Staff are coordinating on potential work near the new bridge downstream of Stevenson Bridge including whether improvements could be made to a weir. The Water Agency is also discussing a potential project with Fairfield to address culverts in the Green Valley area along with other internal projects following a current assessment.

- f. Other Regional and State Issues: The group discussed the Old Alamo/New Alamo Channel area near where Vacaville discharges wastewater. Deborah noted that the channel is heavily obstructed by cattails and that maintenance has been difficult. The issue was raised by both SID and the Water Agency, but responsibility and access remain unclear, including whether easements exist. Paul Fuchslin (SID) clarified that the channel north of Frye Road was constructed as part of the New Alamo Channel and differs from the former creek alignment. Chris Holdener (MPWD) offered to help arrange access through a property owner he knows.
- g. Other Issues: No additional issues reported.

7. Groundwater Planning

- a. SGMA Update: Alex Rabidoux (Water Agency) reported that there were no major updates for the Solano Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA). The GSA is scheduled to meet in October. Alex also described a recent staff-level meeting among the Napa, Yolo, and Solano Subbasin GSAs. The meeting provided an opportunity to compare current activities and implementation approaches. Alex noted that the GSAs share several similarities, although their scales differ, and that Yolo is further along in implementation.

The agencies expect to schedule another staff meeting in early November to discuss implementation strategies and opportunities to learn from one another. Alex reported that the GSA fee study is a major ongoing effort. The GSA Board and the

collaborative representatives from the GSAs have provided direction to keep fees as low as practicable while recognizing that costs have increased. Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers are assisting with the fee study. Proposed fees are not yet available, and staff will discuss them with the GSAs once the study is further developed. Alex did not anticipate major action at the October GSA Board meeting.

8. Solano County Report

Austin Miller (Solano County) reported there were no additional County updates.

9. Other Topics

Cary Keaten (SID) announced an upcoming ACWA Regions 2 and 4 event in Winters at the Winters Community Center. The program is expected to include a keynote presentation on creek restoration and the return of salmon as well as a presentation by Erik Loboschefskey from the Department of Water Resources. Cary noted that Region 4 is heavily influenced by the Yolo Bypass and that the event would provide an opportunity to hear perspectives on winter operations and flows. He encouraged agencies to register and attend.

Cary also discussed ACWA membership and participation, noting that agencies and staff may be able to join and participate in ACWA activities. He highlighted the amount of information available through ACWA's two annual, week-long conferences. Alex Rabidoux (Water Agency) noted that Solano County is represented on the Water Agency Board, while Cary clarified that this representation allows a Board of Supervisors member to attend but does not necessarily extend to County staff.

Deborah Barr (Water Agency) noted that the Water Agency's Salmon Festival will occur Saturday, November 7, 2026.

10. Public Comments

No public comments were made.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:25 PM.

NEXT MEETING: Wednesday, October 22, 2026, at 10:00 AM. The November and December SWAC meetings will be combined on December 9, 2026.

ACTION OF
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

DATE: October 8, 2026

SUBJECT: Capital Improvement Plan Update

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Receive presentation from staff on the Water Agency’s Solano Project Betterment and Rehabilitation (R&B) Plan.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

None.

BACKGROUND:

The Solano Project R&B Plan is a comprehensive planning tool for the enhancement of the Solano Project as it relates to SCWA’s 2016-2030 Strategic Plan. The projects outlined are vital for extending the lifespan of the Solano Project’s aging infrastructure and enhancing water supply reliability in Solano County.

The Solano Project R&B Plan is designed to guide investment and enhancement of the Solano Project over the next ten (10) fiscal years (FY) from FY2025 to FY2034. The Solano Project R&B Plan identified 60 projects that include new build, rehabilitation, and studies that address critical needs to sustain the integrity of the Solano Project. Since the October 2024 plan, four projects have been completed, and 12 are in progress.

To date, a few priority projects are in process including the Putah Diversion Dam Roadway and Drainage Improvements, the Radial Gate Rehabilitation and Modernization project, and the Nishikawa habitat restoration project along lower Putah Creek. Additionally, project management efforts for several projects identified in the Solano Project R&B Plan will be presented.

This agenda item (CIP Update) is for information only. The project specific items requiring the Board approval will be brought forth separately.

Recommended:  _____
Chris Lee, General Manager

☐	Approved as Recommended	☐	Other (see below)	☒	Continued on next page
--------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------

Modification to Recommendation and/or other actions:

I, Chris Lee, General Manager and Secretary to the Solano County Water Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing action was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by said Board of Directors at a regular meeting thereof held on October 8, 2026, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

Chris Lee
General Manager & Secretary to the
Solano County Water Agency

RELEVANCE TO 2016-2030 SCWA STRATEGIC PLAN:

The Solano Project R&B Plan and Engineering Update is consistent with Goal #1 (Water Supply Management), Goal #2 (Water Management Infrastructure), Goal #3 (Flood Management), Goal #4 (Water Resources Resiliency), Goal #6 (Data Management), and Goal #7 (Natural Resources Stewardship) of the 2016-2030 Strategic Plan.

ACTION OF
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

DATE: October 8, 2026

SUBJECT: Ulatis Flood Control Project Property A-1 Channel Relocation Quitclaim and Transfer Finalization

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Authorize the General Manager:

- 1. To execute a Quitclaim Deed abandoning easement over property containing former alignment of the Ulatis A-1 Channel;
- 2. To execute Grant Deed conveying two parcels adjacent to Recology Hay Road property;
- 3. To accept Grant of Easement Deed over portions of Recology Hay Road property for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the realigned A-1 Channel;
- 4. And, to execute any subsequent contractual agreements for easement acquisition, as necessary, including the authority to work with counsel and exercise discretion to negotiate, review, and approve non-essential, administrative, or procedural terms.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The cost for the proposed property release and easement acquisition including preparation of all documentation and recording fees are to be borne by Recology Hay Road Corporation.

There are sufficient funds in the Ulatis Flood Control Project reserves allocated within Capital Expenditures if any minor expenses are needed to be borne by the Water Agency.

BACKGROUND:

The Ulatis Flood Control Project (UFCP) consists of the Ulatis Creek watershed that drains 150 square miles with 43.5 miles of flood channels. The UFCP was constructed from 1962 to 1972. The Ulatis Flood Control Project is the primary drainage for Northeast Solano County. It is comprised of eight creeks which all converge Southeast of Highway 113 and Maine Prairie Road. Four creeks serve the City of Vacaville. There are also two connections for the City of Dixon via McCune Creek south of Interstate 80 and Dixon Pond A to Sweeney Creek via Dixon RCD's Lateral 1 conveyance. Its original purpose was to protect and mitigate flooding of downstream agricultural areas.

Recommended:  _____
Chris Lee, General Manager

☐	Approved as Recommended	☐	Other (see below)	☒	Continued on next page
--------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------

Modification to Recommendation and/or other actions:

I, Chris Lee, General Manager and Secretary to the Solano County Water Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing action was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by said Board of Directors at a regular meeting thereof held on October 8, 2026, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

Chris Lee
General Manager & Secretary
to the Solano County Water Agency
OCT.2026.BOD.ITM 11

The properties to be released (Attachment A: Quitclaim and Attachment B: Grant Deed, referred to collectively as the “Released Property”) are part of the former alignment of the UFCP A-1 Channel. The Released Property was historically owned by the Water Agency. Staff recommended and board approved the relocation of the A-1 Channel in 1996 to facilitate a landfill expansion by B&J Drop Box on behalf of Vacaville Fill, predecessor to Recology Hay Road. Supplemental conditions of the permit from the Water Agency for the work included provisions that the landfill property owner (Recology Hay Road) was responsible for documentation and fees necessary to transfer the Water Agency’s interest in the Released Property to Vacaville Fill and to grant easements to the Water Agency for the property where the new channel was constructed. That never occurred.

To date, the Released Property is still owned by the Water Agency: including APN 042-020-300 and APN 042-020-290, held in fee title by Solano County Flood Control & Water Conservation District (predecessor agency to Solano County Water Agency), and an easement over a portion of APN 042-020-060. The easement in favor of the Water Agency for the realigned channel was also never formally recorded. Therefore, staff requests board approval to complete the processing and transfer of the Released Property and the easements.

The Released Property consists of a fee title interest in 0.26 and 0.77 acres of APN 042-020-300 and APN 042-020-290 (“Agency Parcels”), respectively, and an easement over 7.5 acres of land. The Released Property is proposed to be granted to Recology Hay Road via a grant of fee title in the Agency Parcels and the abandonment of the 7.5 acre existing easement.

A 10.27 acre easement over portions of the Recology Hay Road property is proposed to be created and granted to the Water Agency for the realignment of the A-1 Channel (Attachment C: Grant of Easement Deed). The easement is valued at approximately \$0.90 per square foot for a total of \$400,000.

Recology will be reimbursing the Water Agency for any and all cost incurred including but not limited to legal, realtor and survey fees.

RELEVANCE TO 2016-2030 SCWA STRATEGIC PLAN:

The release of this property allows for continued management of the Ulati Flood Control Project and is consistent with Goal #3 (Flood Management) of the 2016-2030 Strategic Plan.

Recording Requested By:

Solano County Water Agency

When Recorded Mail To:

Recology Hay Road
c/o Legal Department
50 California St., Floor 24
San Francisco, CA 94111

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE RESERVED FOR RECORDER'S USE

QUITCLAIM DEED (EASEMENT)

APN: Portion of 0042-020-060

The undersigned grantor declares:
DOCUMENTARY TRANSFER TAX \$ NONE
FREE RECORDING REQUESTED
PURSUANT TO GOV. CODE 27383

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged,
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY, a public body, corporate and politic, as successor in interest to
SOLANO COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT, a public body,
corporate and politic

hereby QUITCLAIMS to RECOLOGY HAY ROAD, a California Corporation,

all that certain real property, interest, right, title, easement, and right-of-way located in the Unincorporated
Area of the County of Solano, State of California, more particularly described in Exhibit "A-1" and shown
on Exhibit "A-2", attached hereto and made a part thereof.

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY, a public body, corporate and politic, as successor in interest to
SOLANO COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT, a public body,
corporate and politic

By: Christopher Lee
Its: General Manager

Dated: _____

EXHIBIT "A-1"

All that certain real property situate in the County of Solano, State of California, being a portion of Section 2, Township 5 North, Range 1 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, described as follows:

Parcels 1, 2, and 3 of Exhibit A of the Final Order of Condemnation recorded May 23, 1969, as Instrument No. 9305 in Book 1567, Page 339 of Solano County Official Records.

End of Description






EXHIBIT 'A-2'

DATE: 05/15/26

DRAWN: JSM

CHECKED: RKH

SHEET 1 OF 1



SCALE:
1" = 400'

TITLE:

**EASEMENT AND RIGHT-OF-WAY ABANDONMENT
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY**

BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 2, T.5 N., R.1 E., MDM
SOLANO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

PREPARED BY: M.H.M. INC., 1204 "E" STREET, MARYSVILLE, CA. 95901



Recording Requested By:

RECOLOGY HAY ROAD

When Recorded Mail To:

Recology Hay Road
c/o Legal Department
50 California St., Floor 24
San Francisco, CA 94111

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE RESERVED FOR RECORDER'S USE

GRANT DEED

APNs: Portions of 0042-020-290 and 300

The undersigned grantor declares:
DOCUMENTARY TRANSFER TAX \$ NONE
FREE RECORDING REQUESTED
PURSUANT TO GOV. CODE 27383

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged,
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY, a public body, corporate and politic, as successor in interest to
SOLANO COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT, a public body,
corporate and politic,

hereby GRANTS to RECOLOGY HAY ROAD, a California corporation,

all that certain real property, located in the Unincorporated Area of the County of Solano, State of
California, more particularly described in Exhibit "A-1" and shown on Exhibit "A-2", attached hereto and
made a part thereof.

SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY, a public body, corporate and politic, as successor in interest to
SOLANO COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT, a public body,
corporate and politic

By: Christopher Lee
Its: General Manager

Dated: _____

EXHIBIT "A-1"

All that certain real property situate in the County of Solano, State of California, being a portion of the Northwest one-quarter of Section 2, Township 5 North, Range 1 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian and also being Parcels 1 and 2 of the Grant Deed recorded September 3, 1968 as Instrument Number 15941 in Book 1524, Page 337 of Solano County Official Records, more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 1: (APN 0042-020-300)

Beginning at a point within the premises of the grantor herein from which a monument identified as No. 11 upon that certain "Record of Survey Showing Control Monuments Established for Ulati Flood Control Project", filed for record in the office of the Recorder of Solano County, California, in Book 7 of Surveys, at Page 98, bears the following two (2) courses and distances, (1) North 090° 20' 03" East 382.57 feet to a one-inch iron pipe with cap, set in concrete and stamped UFCP #72 and (2) North 38° 51' 35" West 9677.89 feet distant, said point of beginning being further described as bearing South 07° 29' 34" West 352.51 feet distant from a point at or near the North one-quarter corner of Said Section 2; thence, from said point of beginning along the arc of a curve concave Northeasterly having a radius of 845.00 feet, said arc being subtended by a chord bearing South 29° 46' 59" East 89.52 feet to a point in the East line of the premises of the grantor herein; thence, along said East line North 00° 11' 55" East 188.15 feet, thence, leaving said East line North 26° 44' 49" West 268.56 feet to a point in the north line of the premises of the grantor herein and the centerline of Hay Road; thence, along said North line and centerline, North 89° 39' 00" West 101.10 feet to a point hereby designated "Point A"; thence, leaving said North line and centerline South 26° 44' 49" East 392.92 feet to the point of beginning,

The total net area after excluding all those portions lying within public roads, contained in the hereinabove described Parcel 1 is 0.71 acre of land, more or less,

PARCEL 2: (APN 0042-020-290)

Beginning at the Northeast corner of said Northwest one-quarter of Section 2, said corner being a point in the centerline of Hay Road, from which a monument identified as No. 11 upon that certain "Record of Survey Showing Control Monuments Established for Ulati Flood Control Project", filed for record in the office of the recorder of Solano County, California, in Book 7 of Surveys, at Page 98, bears North 38° 40' 54" West 9689.64 feet distant; thence, from said point of beginning, along said centerline of Hay Road and the North line of said Northwest one-quarter, North 89° 39' 00" West 121.70 feet; thence, South 26° 44' 49" East 268.56 feet to a point in the east line of said Northwest one-quarter; thence, along said East line North 00° 11' 55" East 239.08 feet to the point of beginning.

The total net area after excluding all those portions lying within public roads, contained in the hereinabove described Parcel 2, is 0.255 acre of land, more or less.

The Meridian of this description is identical with that of a "Record of Survey Showing Control Monuments Established for Ulati Flood Control Project", filed for record at the office of the Recorder of Solano County, California, in Book 7 of Surveys, at Page 98.

End of Description






EXHIBIT 'A-2'

DATE: 09/25/26

DRAWN: JSM

CHECKED: RKH

SHEET 1 OF 1




SCALE:
1" = 400'

TITLE:

GRANT DEED
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY
BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 2, T.5 N., R.1 E., MDM
SOLANO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

PREPARED BY: M.H.M. INC., 1204 "E" STREET, MARYSVILLE, CA. 95901



Recording Requested By:

Solano County Water Agency

When Recorded Mail To:

Solano County Water Agency
810 Vaca Valley Parkway, Suite 202
Vacaville, CA 95688

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE RESERVED FOR RECORDER'S USE

GRANT OF EASEMENT DEED

APNs: Portions of 0042-020-060, 290, and 300

The undersigned grantor declares:
DOCUMENTARY TRANSFER TAX \$ NONE
FREE RECORDING REQUESTED
PURSUANT TO GOV. CODE 27383

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged,
RECOLOGY HAY ROAD, a California corporation,

hereby GRANTS to SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY, a public body, corporate and politic,

the following described permanent easement and right of way for the purposes of, and in connection with the construction, improvement, relocation, widening, deepening, and straightening of water channels; the operation, maintenance and inspection of those channels and all works appurtenant thereto; and flowage of any water in, over, upon and through such channels located on real property in the Unincorporated Area, County of Solano, State of California, more particularly described in Exhibit "A-1" and shown on Exhibit "A-2", attached hereto and made a part thereof.

RECOLOGY HAY ROAD, a California corporation

_____, Dated: _____

By: Cary Chen

Its: RESIDENT, RECOLOGY HAY ROAD

EXHIBIT "A-1"

All that certain real property situate in the County of Solano, State of California, being a portion of Section 2, Township 5 North, Range 1 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, described as follows:

Commencing at the Northeast corner of said Section 2, thence South $00^{\circ}11'48''$ West along the east line of said Section 2, a distance of 30.00 feet thence leaving said East line of Section 2, North $89^{\circ}44'30''$ West 68.00 feet to the westerly corner of the parcel granted to Solano County August 6, 2015 recorded under Document Number 201500071181, Solano County Official Records, said corner being on the Southerly right-of-way line of County Road Number 178 (Hay Road), and the **TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING** of the herein described parcel of land; thence from said True Point of Beginning, coincident with said Southerly right of way line, North $89^{\circ}44'30''$ West 2570.47 feet to the north-south centerline of said Section 2 and east line of Parcel 2, said parcel granted to Solano County Flood Control and Water Conservation District in the Grant Deed recorded September 3, 1968 in Book 1524 of Solano County Official Records at page 337, thence continuing along said southerly right of way line, across said Parcel 2 and Parcel 1 of said Grant Deed, North $89^{\circ}44'30''$ West 187.42 feet; thence leaving said Southerly right of way line South $29^{\circ}29'16''$ East 94.44 feet; thence parallel with said Southerly right of way line and lying 82.00 feet southerly of said right-of-way line, South $89^{\circ}44'30''$ East 140.36 feet to the north-south centerline of said Section 2 and east line of said Parcel 2; thence continuing along said parallel line, South $89^{\circ}44'30''$ East 2465.83 feet to the beginning on of a tangent curve; thence along the arc of said tangent curve, concave southwesterly, having a radius of 60.00 feet, through a central angle of $89^{\circ}56'18''$, an arc distance of 94.18 feet to a line parallel to the westerly right-of-way line of said State Route No. 113 and lying 80.00 feet westerly; thence along said parallel line, South $00^{\circ}11'48''$ West 2588.38 feet to the beginning on of a tangent curve; thence along the arc of said tangent curve, concave northeasterly, having a radius of 150.00 feet, through a central angle of $35^{\circ}00'34''$, an arc distance of 91.65 feet; thence South $34^{\circ}48'46''$ East 92.13 feet to said Westerly right-of-way; thence coincident with said westerly right of way line, North $00^{\circ}11'48''$ East 2856.74 feet to the southeasterly corner of said parcel granted to Solano County; thence along the southwesterly line of said Solano County parcel, North $44^{\circ}46'30''$ West 49.53 feet to the point of beginning and containing 10.27 acres.

Basis of bearings refer to monuments found on the north line of said Section 2 as shown on Record of Survey filed in Book 19 of Surveys, at pages 78 through 85, Solano County Records. (Bearing being S $89^{\circ}44'30''$ E).

End of Description






EXHIBIT 'A-2'

DATE: 05/15/26

DRAWN: JSM

CHECKED: RKH
SHEET 1 OF 1



SCALE:
1" = 400'

TITLE:

EASEMENT DEDICATION SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 2, T.5 N., R.1 E., MDM
SOLANO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

PREPARED BY: M.H.M. INC., 1204 "E" STREET, MARYSVILLE, CA. 95901



ACTION OF
SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

DATE: October 8, 2026

SUBJECT: Local State of Emergency

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Adopt Resolution 2026-05, declaring a local state of emergency for the upcoming storm season and potential flooding associated with El Niño.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

To be determined. Emergency projects were not programmed in the Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Budget.

BACKGROUND:

On September 21, 2026, Governor Newsom proclaimed a statewide State of Emergency to support preparedness for the upcoming winter storm season and potential flooding associated with a strengthening El Niño.

In response, Water Agency staff recommend that the Board of Directors consider following suit by declaring a local State of Emergency for the Water Agency to facilitate preparation for the upcoming flood season and expedite implementation of needed repair projects within the Agency’s flood systems by adopting Resolution 2026-05 (attached)

Staff has begun identifying potential projects along the Ulatis flood system, Pleasants Creek and Lower Putah Creek, including priority “hot spot” locations and potential weir placements. Initial projects under consideration include the Stevenson's Bridge Rock Vane, Pleasants Creek Rock Vanes, and vegetation removal along Sweeney Creek, including blackberry and Arundo near I-505 and a washout near northern Leisure Town Road. Staff are also coordinating with other agencies to address drainage and vegetation concerns, including issues associated with Reservoir Lane culverts. A local State of Emergency may provide opportunities to expedite the CEQA process for qualifying emergency projects, allowing critical repairs and flood-protection measures to move forward more quickly. There may be state and federal funding to help with these projects.

Recommended:  _____
Chris Lee, General Manager

☐ Approved as Recommended	☐ Other (see below)	☒ Continued on next page
--	--	--

Modification to Recommendation and/or other actions:

I, Chris Lee, General Manager and Secretary to the Solano County Water Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing action was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by said Board of Directors at a regular meeting thereof held on October 8, 2026, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

Chris Lee
General Manager & Secretary to the
Solano County Water Agency

Page 2

Staff are seeking direction from the Board on whether there is support for pursuing a local State of Emergency for the Water Agency.

RELEVANCE TO 2016-2030 SCWA STRATEGIC PLAN:

The proposed local state of emergency is consistent with Goal #3 (Flood Management) of the 2016-2030 Strategic Plan.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 2026-05

A RESOLUTION OF THE SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY DECLARING A LOCAL STATE OF EMERGENCY DUE TO THE DEVELOPING 2026–2027 EL NIÑO EVENT AND DIRECTING PREPAREDNESS ACTIONS

WHEREAS, on September 21, 2026, Governor Gavin Newsom issued a Proclamation of a State of Emergency due to the developing 2026–2027 El Niño event, finding that conditions of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property exist and that local authority is inadequate to cope with the magnitude of the extreme peril posed by the developing El Niño event; and

WHEREAS, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Climate Prediction Center has found that the El Niño event is strengthening, with a greater than 90 percent chance of a very strong event during the Northern Hemisphere fall and winter of 2026–2027, and a 69 percent chance that the event will reach historic strength during the October through December 2026 season, exceeding the strength of all prior El Niño events in the historical record beginning in 1950; and

WHEREAS, Solano County Water Agency has historically suffered impacts from severe storms, atmospheric river events, and flooding that have caused damage to homes, critical infrastructure, and essential services, and risks of these damages remain elevated in affected areas; and

WHEREAS, the developing El Niño event makes it probable that Solano County will experience elevated coastal water levels, high-impact storms, severe precipitation, flooding, landslides, mud and debris flows, erosion, and damage to public and private infrastructure during the 2026–2027 wet season; and

WHEREAS, under the provisions of Government Code section 8630, a local agency may proclaim a local state of emergency when conditions of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property exist or are imminent due to the occurrence or threatened occurrence of a natural disaster; and

WHEREAS, early, coordinated, and targeted preparedness and mitigation actions are necessary to protect Solano County residents, critical infrastructure, property, and the local economy from anticipated impacts of the El Niño event; and

WHEREAS, a declaration of local emergency will enable the Agency to mobilize and deploy personnel, equipment, and supplies more effectively; receive state and federal assistance and support; implement emergency management measures to protect public health and safety; and coordinate with other local agencies and the State in regional preparedness activities

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of Solano County Water Agency as follows:

1. Pursuant to Government Code section 8630, a local state of emergency is hereby proclaimed to exist throughout Solano County Water Agency due to the developing 2026–2027 El Niño event and the extreme peril to persons and property that it poses.
2. The General Manager of the Agency or his designee is directed to develop and implement a preparedness plan for anticipated El Niño impacts, including: Identification of critical infrastructure, facilities, and public works most at risk from flooding, erosion, debris flows, or other storm impacts; Prioritization of protective measures and flood-fight activities; Pre-positioning of personnel, equipment, materials, and supplies; and coordination with water agencies, flood control authorities, and other local agencies.
3. Pursuant to Public Contracting Code § 22050, the Board delegates to the General Manager of the Agency the authority to authorize expenditures for emergency repairs, replacements, and procurements upon a finding that (a) the action is necessary to respond to the emergency; and (b) the then-existing emergency conditions will not permit a delay resulting from a competitive solicitation for bids for that item. Any action taken pursuant to this subsection will be reviewed by the Board on the earlier of (1) its next regularly scheduled Board meeting; or (2) seven days after the action.
4. This Declaration shall become effective upon adoption and shall remain in effect for 60 days, unless extended, modified, or terminated by the Solano County Water Agency Board of Directors.

Page 2

I, CHRIS LEE, General Manager and Secretary to the Board of Directors of the Solano County Water Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was regularly introduced, passed and adopted by said Board of Directors, at a regular meeting thereof held on the 8th day of October 2026, by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Abstain:

Absent:

Chris Lee
General Manager & Secretary to the Solano County
Water Agency

LEGISLATIVE UPDATES

WATER POLICY UPDATES